

A

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☒

Approved for use through 09/30/00. OMB 0651-0032  
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

# UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))

Attorney Docket No. GRFN-020/01US Total Pages 79

First Named Inventor or Application Identifier

Stephen B.H. Kent et al.

Express Mail Label No. EM 570 540 482 US

## APPLICATION ELEMENTS

See MPEP chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.

ADDRESS TO:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Box Patent Application  
Washington, DC 20231

1. ☒ Fee Transmittal Form (1 pg/w/copy)  
(Submit an original, and a duplicate for fee processing)
2. ☒ Specification [Total Pages 67]  
(preferred arrangement set forth below)
- Descriptive title of the invention
  - Cross References to Related Applications
  - Statement Regarding Fed sponsored R & D
  - Reference to Microfiche Appendix
  - Background of the invention
  - Brief Summary of the invention
  - Brief Description of the Drawings (if filed)
  - Detailed Description
  - Claim(s)
  - Abstract of the Disclosure
3. ☒ Drawing(s) (35 USC 113) [Total Sheets 4]
4. Oath or Declaration [Total Pages 3]
- a. ☒ Newly executed (original or copy)
- b. ☐ Copy from a prior application (37 CFR 1.63(d))  
(for continuation/divisional with Box 17 completed)  
(Note Box 5 below)
- i. ☐ **DELETION OF INVENTOR(S)**  
Signed statement attached deleting  
inventor(s) named in the prior application,  
see 37 CFR 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).
5. ☒ Incorporation By Reference (useable if Box 4b is checked)  
The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which a  
copy of the oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4b,  
is considered as being part of the disclosure of the  
accompanying application and is hereby incorporated by  
reference therein. *Conversion of Prov. 60/057,620*

6. ☐ Microfiche Computer Program (Appendix)
7. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission  
(if applicable, all necessary)
- a. ☐ Computer Readable Copy
- b. ☐ Paper Copy (identical to computer copy)
- c. ☐ Statement verifying identity of above copies

## ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS

8. ☒ Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s)) (3pgs)
9. ☐ 37 CFR 3.73(b) Statement (when there is an assignee) ☐ Power of Attorney
10. ☐ English Translation Document (if applicable)
11. ☐ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449 ☐ Copies of IDS Citations
12. ☐ Preliminary Amendment
13. ☒ Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503)  
(Should be specifically itemized)
14. ☐ Small Entity ☐ Statement filed in prior application.  
Statement(s) ☐ Status still proper and desired
15. ☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  
(if foreign priority is claimed)
16. ☐ Other: .....

17. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, check appropriate box and supply the requisite information:

☐ Continuation ☐ Divisional ☐ Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No: \_\_\_\_\_

## 18. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

☐ Customer Number or Bar Code Label

or ☐ Correspondence address below

(Insert Customer No. or Attach bar code label here)

NAME

James A. Bradburne, Ph.D. Reg. No. 38,389

ADDRESS

Cooley Godward LLP  
Five Palo Alto Square, 3000 El Camino Real

CITY

Palo Alto

STATE

California

ZIP CODE

94306-2155

COUNTRY

U.S.A.

TELEPHONE

(650) 843-5000

FAX

(650) 857-0663

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231.

Certificate of Express Mailing on back of sheet.

<h2 style="margin: 0;">FEE TRANSMITTAL</h2> <p style="font-size: small; margin: 5px 0;">Note: Effective October 1, 1997. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.</p>	<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; margin: 0;">Complete if Known</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width: 50%;">Application Number</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Filing Date</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>First Named Inventor</td><td>Stephen B.H. Kent et al.</td></tr> <tr><td>Group Art Unit</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Examiner Name</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Attorney Docket Number</td><td>GRFN-020/01US</td></tr> </table>	Application Number		Filing Date		First Named Inventor	Stephen B.H. Kent et al.	Group Art Unit		Examiner Name		Attorney Docket Number	GRFN-020/01US
Application Number													
Filing Date													
First Named Inventor	Stephen B.H. Kent et al.												
Group Art Unit													
Examiner Name													
Attorney Docket Number	GRFN-020/01US												
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT	(\$ 2,288.00)												

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; margin: 0;">METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)</p> <p>1. <input type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any over payments to:</p> <p>Deposit Account Number: 03-3117</p> <p>Deposit Account Name: Cooley Godward LLP</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17    <input type="checkbox"/> Charge the Issue Fee Set in 37 CFR 1.18 at the Mailing of the Notice of Allowance</p> <p>2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Payment Enclosed:  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check    <input type="checkbox"/> Money Order    <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>	<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; margin: 0;">FEE CALCULATION (continued)</p> <p>3. ADDITIONAL FEES</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Large Entity Fee Code (\$)</th> <th>Small Entity Fee Code (\$)</th> <th>Fee Description</th> <th>Fee Paid</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>105 130 205 65</td><td></td><td>Surcharge - late filing fee or oath</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>127 50 227 25</td><td></td><td>Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>139 130 139 130</td><td></td><td>Non-English specification</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>147 2,520 147 2,520</td><td></td><td>For filing a request for reexamination</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>112 920* 112 920*</td><td></td><td>Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>113 1,840* 113 1,840*</td><td></td><td>Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>115 110 215 55</td><td></td><td>Extension for reply within first month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>116 400 216 200</td><td></td><td>Extension for reply within second month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>117 950 217 475</td><td></td><td>Extension for reply within third month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>118 1,510 218 755</td><td></td><td>Extension for reply within fourth month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>128 2,060 228 1,030</td><td></td><td>Extension for reply within fifth month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>119 310 219 155</td><td></td><td>Notice of Appeal</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>120 310 220 155</td><td></td><td>Filing a brief in support of an appeal</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>121 270 221 135</td><td></td><td>Request for oral hearing</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>138 1,510 138 1,510</td><td></td><td>Petition to institute a public use proceeding</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>140 110 240 55</td><td></td><td>Petition to revive - unavoidable</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>141 1,320 241 660</td><td></td><td>Petition to revive - unintentional</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>142 1,320 242 660</td><td></td><td>Utility issue fee (or reissue)</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>143 450 243 225</td><td></td><td>Design issue fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>144 670 244 335</td><td></td><td>Plant issue fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>122 130 122 130</td><td></td><td>Petitions to the Commissioner</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>123 50 123 50</td><td></td><td>Petitions related to provisional applications</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>126 240 126 240</td><td></td><td>Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>581 40 581 40</td><td></td><td>Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)</td><td>40.00</td></tr> <tr><td>146 790 246 395</td><td></td><td>Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>149 790 249 395</td><td></td><td>For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="3">Other fee (specify) _____</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="3">Other fee (specify) _____</td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid	105 130 205 65		Surcharge - late filing fee or oath		127 50 227 25		Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet		139 130 139 130		Non-English specification		147 2,520 147 2,520		For filing a request for reexamination		112 920* 112 920*		Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action		113 1,840* 113 1,840*		Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action		115 110 215 55		Extension for reply within first month		116 400 216 200		Extension for reply within second month		117 950 217 475		Extension for reply within third month		118 1,510 218 755		Extension for reply within fourth month		128 2,060 228 1,030		Extension for reply within fifth month		119 310 219 155		Notice of Appeal		120 310 220 155		Filing a brief in support of an appeal		121 270 221 135		Request for oral hearing		138 1,510 138 1,510		Petition to institute a public use proceeding		140 110 240 55		Petition to revive - unavoidable		141 1,320 241 660		Petition to revive - unintentional		142 1,320 242 660		Utility issue fee (or reissue)		143 450 243 225		Design issue fee		144 670 244 335		Plant issue fee		122 130 122 130		Petitions to the Commissioner		123 50 123 50		Petitions related to provisional applications		126 240 126 240		Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt		581 40 581 40		Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	40.00	146 790 246 395		Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))		149 790 249 395		For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))		Other fee (specify) _____				Other fee (specify) _____			
Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid																																																																																																																		
105 130 205 65		Surcharge - late filing fee or oath																																																																																																																			
127 50 227 25		Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet																																																																																																																			
139 130 139 130		Non-English specification																																																																																																																			
147 2,520 147 2,520		For filing a request for reexamination																																																																																																																			
112 920* 112 920*		Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action																																																																																																																			
113 1,840* 113 1,840*		Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action																																																																																																																			
115 110 215 55		Extension for reply within first month																																																																																																																			
116 400 216 200		Extension for reply within second month																																																																																																																			
117 950 217 475		Extension for reply within third month																																																																																																																			
118 1,510 218 755		Extension for reply within fourth month																																																																																																																			
128 2,060 228 1,030		Extension for reply within fifth month																																																																																																																			
119 310 219 155		Notice of Appeal																																																																																																																			
120 310 220 155		Filing a brief in support of an appeal																																																																																																																			
121 270 221 135		Request for oral hearing																																																																																																																			
138 1,510 138 1,510		Petition to institute a public use proceeding																																																																																																																			
140 110 240 55		Petition to revive - unavoidable																																																																																																																			
141 1,320 241 660		Petition to revive - unintentional																																																																																																																			
142 1,320 242 660		Utility issue fee (or reissue)																																																																																																																			
143 450 243 225		Design issue fee																																																																																																																			
144 670 244 335		Plant issue fee																																																																																																																			
122 130 122 130		Petitions to the Commissioner																																																																																																																			
123 50 123 50		Petitions related to provisional applications																																																																																																																			
126 240 126 240		Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt																																																																																																																			
581 40 581 40		Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	40.00																																																																																																																		
146 790 246 395		Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))																																																																																																																			
149 790 249 395		For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))																																																																																																																			
Other fee (specify) _____																																																																																																																					
Other fee (specify) _____																																																																																																																					
<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; margin: 0;">FEE CALCULATION</p> <p>1. FILING FEE</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Large Entity Fee Code (\$)</th> <th>Small Entity Fee Code (\$)</th> <th>Fee Description</th> <th>Fee Paid</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>101 790 201 395</td><td></td><td>Utility filing fee</td><td>790.00</td></tr> <tr><td>106 330 206 165</td><td></td><td>Design filing fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>107 540 207 270</td><td></td><td>Plant filing fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>108 790 208 395</td><td></td><td>Reissue filing fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>114 150 214 75</td><td></td><td>Provisional filing fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;"><b>SUBTOTAL (1)</b></td><td><b>(\$ 790.00)</b></td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>2. CLAIMS</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Total Claims</th> <th>Extra</th> <th>Fee from below</th> <th>Fee Paid</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>54</td><td>-20 =</td><td>34 X 22 =</td><td>1,188.00</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>-3 =</td><td>0 X - =</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>1</td><td>-1 =</td><td>1 X 210 =</td><td>210.00</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Large Entity Small Entity</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Fee Code (\$)</th> <th>Fee Code (\$)</th> <th>Fee Description</th> <th>Fee Paid</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>103 22 203 11</td><td></td><td>Claims in excess of 20</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>102 82 202 41</td><td></td><td>Independent claims in excess of 3</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>104 270 204 135</td><td></td><td>Multiple dependent claim</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>109 82 209 41</td><td></td><td>Reissue independent claims over original patent</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>110 22 210 11</td><td></td><td>Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;"><b>SUBTOTAL (2)</b></td><td><b>(\$ 1,458.00)</b></td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid	101 790 201 395		Utility filing fee	790.00	106 330 206 165		Design filing fee		107 540 207 270		Plant filing fee		108 790 208 395		Reissue filing fee		114 150 214 75		Provisional filing fee		<b>SUBTOTAL (1)</b>			<b>(\$ 790.00)</b>	Total Claims	Extra	Fee from below	Fee Paid	54	-20 =	34 X 22 =	1,188.00	3	-3 =	0 X - =		1	-1 =	1 X 210 =	210.00	Fee Code (\$)	Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid	103 22 203 11		Claims in excess of 20		102 82 202 41		Independent claims in excess of 3		104 270 204 135		Multiple dependent claim		109 82 209 41		Reissue independent claims over original patent		110 22 210 11		Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent		<b>SUBTOTAL (2)</b>			<b>(\$ 1,458.00)</b>	<p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"><b>SUBTOTAL (3)</b> (\$ 40.00)</p> <p style="font-size: small; margin-top: 5px;">* Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid</p>																																												
Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid																																																																																																																		
101 790 201 395		Utility filing fee	790.00																																																																																																																		
106 330 206 165		Design filing fee																																																																																																																			
107 540 207 270		Plant filing fee																																																																																																																			
108 790 208 395		Reissue filing fee																																																																																																																			
114 150 214 75		Provisional filing fee																																																																																																																			
<b>SUBTOTAL (1)</b>			<b>(\$ 790.00)</b>																																																																																																																		
Total Claims	Extra	Fee from below	Fee Paid																																																																																																																		
54	-20 =	34 X 22 =	1,188.00																																																																																																																		
3	-3 =	0 X - =																																																																																																																			
1	-1 =	1 X 210 =	210.00																																																																																																																		
Fee Code (\$)	Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid																																																																																																																		
103 22 203 11		Claims in excess of 20																																																																																																																			
102 82 202 41		Independent claims in excess of 3																																																																																																																			
104 270 204 135		Multiple dependent claim																																																																																																																			
109 82 209 41		Reissue independent claims over original patent																																																																																																																			
110 22 210 11		Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent																																																																																																																			
<b>SUBTOTAL (2)</b>			<b>(\$ 1,458.00)</b>																																																																																																																		

SUBMITTED BY		Complete (if applicable)	
Typed or Printed Name	James A. Bradburne, Ph.D.	Reg. Number	38,389
Signature		Date	8/31/98
		Deposit Account User ID	03-3117

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

**MODULAR PROTEIN LIBRARIES AND METHODS OF PREPARATION****CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

This application claims benefit to provisional application U.S. Serial No.  
5 60/057,620, filed September 4, 1997.

**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates to modular protein molecules and modular  
protein libraries obtained by cross-over synthesis of two or more functional protein  
modules derived from different parent protein molecules.

10

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

Chemical leads for the pharmaceutical industry are currently identified  
through rational design and/or mass screening. The recent introduction of high  
throughput, automated screening technologies has permitted evaluation of hundreds of  
15 thousands of individual test molecules against a large number of targets. However,  
the source, diversity and functionality of large chemical libraries still remains a  
limitation in identifying new leads. Compound libraries commonly used in mass  
screening consist of either a historical collection of synthesized compounds or natural  
product collections. Historical collections contain a limited number of diverse  
20 structures and represent only a small fraction of diversity possibilities. They also  
contain a limited number of biologically useful compounds. Natural products are  
limited by the structural complexity of the leads identified and the difficulty of  
reducing them to useful pharmaceutical agents (e.g., taxol).

Methods available for generating synthetic compound libraries differ  
25 considerably in the types and numbers of compounds prepared, and whether the  
compounds are obtained as single structurally defined entities or as large mixtures.  
New compound libraries have been obtained through rapid chemical and biological  
synthesis (Moos *et al.*, *Ann. Rep. Med. Chem.* (1993) 28:315-324; Pavia *et al.*,

Bioorganic Medicinal Chem. Lett. (1993) 3:387-96; Gallop *et al.*, *J. Med. Chem.*, (1994) 37:1233-1251; Gordon *et al.*, *J. Med. Chem.* (1994) 37:1385-1401). Peptide libraries containing hundreds to millions of small to medium size peptides have been made using "pin technology" representing a method that generates libraries of single compounds in a spatially-differentiated manner (Geysen *et al.*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* (1984), 81:3998-4002). The "split pool" method provides an alternative approach to preparing large mixtures of peptides and other classes of molecules (Furka *et al.*, Abstr. 14th Int. Congr. Biochem., Prague, Czechoslovakia, Vol 5, pg 47. Abstr. 10th Intl. Symp. Med. Chem., Budapest, Hungary, (1988), pg 288; Houghten *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* (1985) 82:5131-35). Peptide libraries also have been produced by the "tea-bag" method in which small amounts of resins representing individual peptides are enclosed in porous polypropylene containers (Houghten *et al.*, *Nature* (1991) 354:84-86). The bags are immersed in individual solutions of the appropriate activated amino acids while deprotections and washings are carried out by mixing all the bags together. The bags are then re-separated for subsequent coupling steps (the split-pool method). Removal of the peptides from the resins affords peptides in soluble form. It is possible to rapidly prepare a collection of libraries which represents, for example, all 64 million naturally-occurring hexapeptides and identify an optimal peptide ligand for any ligate of interest. Libraries of peptides also have been prepared on polymeric beads by the split-pool method and incubated with a tagged ligate. Ligates with bound peptides are identified by visual inspection, physically removed, and microsequenced (Lam *et al.*, *Nature* (1991) 354:82-84). The approach also can incorporate cleavable linkers on each bead where, after exposure to cleaving reagent, the beads release a portion of their peptides into solution for biological assay and still retain sufficient peptide on the bead for microsequencing. The pin, split-pool, and tea-bag methods and libraries generated therefrom are limited to relatively small peptides amenable to this technology and the difficulty in identifying functional peptides of interest.

Peptide libraries also have been prepared in which an "identifier" tag is attached to a solid support material coincident with each monomer using a split-pool synthesis procedure. The structure of the molecule on any bead identified through screening is obtained by decoding the identifier tags. Numerous methods of tagging the beads have now been reported. These include the use of single stranded

oligonucleotides which have the advantage of being used as identifying tags as well as allowing for enrichment through the use for PCR amplification (Brenner *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* (1992) 89:5381-5383; Nielsen *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* (1993) 115:9812-9813; Needels *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (1993) 90:10700-10704).

- 5 The use of halocarbon derivatives which are released from the active beads through photolysis and sequenced using electron capture capillary chromatography has also been described (Gallop *et al.*, *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, (1994) 37:1233-1251). While identifier tags aid screening of large peptide libraries, peptides are likely to have limited therapeutic applicability when modulation of receptor activity  
10 involved in a particular disorder require interaction with whole proteins, or protein complexes.

- Phage libraries containing tens of millions of filamentous phage clones have been used as a biological source for generating peptide libraries, with each clone displaying a unique peptide sequence on the bacteriophage surface (Smith G.P.,  
15 *Science* (1985) 228:1315-1317; Cwirla *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (1990) 87:6378-6382; Devlin *et al.*, *Science* (1990) 249:404-406). In this method, the phage genome contains the DNA sequence encoding for the peptide. The ligate of interest is used to affinity purify phage that display binding peptides, the phage propagated in *E. coli*, and the amino acid sequences of the peptides displayed on the phage are  
20 identified by sequencing the corresponding coding region of the viral DNA. Tens of millions of peptides can be rapidly surveyed for binding. Initial libraries of short peptides generally afford relatively weak ligands. Longer epitope regions and/or constrained epitopes also have been prepared. Phage technology also has effectively been applied to proteins and antibodies demonstrating that protein domains can fold  
25 properly on the surface of phage. A limitation of this method is that only naturally occurring amino acids can be used and little is known about the effect of the phage environment, as well as contaminants from cellular debris and phage.

- Peptoid libraries have been created which represent a collection of peptides having N-substituted glycines as peptoid monomers (Zuckermann *et al.*, *J. Med. Chem.* (1994) 37: 2678-2685; Bunin *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* (1992)  
30 114:10997-10998; DeWitt *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* (1993) 90:6909-6913; Bunin *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (1993) 91:4708-4712; Hogan *et al.* WO

94/01102). Structures of the resulting compounds are unique, likely to display unique binding properties, and incorporate important functionalities of peptides in a novel backbone. The methods generate single structurally well defined molecules in a solution format after cleavage from a solid support. A disadvantage of this approach is the lack of correlating structure with function in screening the modified peptides, as well as limited therapeutic application when small peptides are insufficient to mimic activity of a protein or protein complex.

While each of the technologies described above afford a large number of compounds, the usefulness of these systems for the effective rapid discovery of drug candidates is limited since all of them result in the identification of relatively small peptide ligands. In most cases, small peptides are not suited as drugs due to *in vivo* instability and lack of oral absorption. Furthermore, conversion of a peptide chemical lead into a pharmaceutically useful, orally active, non-peptide drug candidate is more difficult than identifying the original peptide lead since no general solution yet exists for designing effective peptide mimics.

Another significant limitation of the various approaches described above are the size and complexity of the libraries, whether they are generated as single compounds (active compound identified by it's physical location) or mixtures (active compound identified by it's tag for encoded libraries or through deconvolution, where an active compound is identified by iterative synthesis and screening of mixtures). In addition, the construction of random synthetic, native, and phage libraries have proven useful but fall short of providing a more rational approach in development of compound libraries for the identification of a novel lead chemical structure. Accordingly, there exists a need to develop new libraries comprising functionally diverse compounds to improve the drug discovery process.

### **RELEVANT LITERATURE**

Peptide libraries constructed by chemical synthesis have been disclosed by Hogan *et al.*, (WO 94/01102). Dawson *et al.* (*Science* (1994) 266:776-779) and Kent *et al.* (WO 96/34878) disclose a method for the chemical synthesis of proteins by native chemical ligation. Various combinations of solid and solution phase

ligation technologies for the synthesis of chemokines and analogues also have been disclosed (Siani *et al.*, IBC 3rd Annual International Conference: Chemokines, September 1996; Siani *et al.*, NMHCC, Chemokines and Host-Cell Interaction Conference, January 1997, Baltimore, Maryland; Siani *et al.*, Peptide Symposium, Nashville, June 1997; Canne *et al.*, American Peptide Symposium, Nashville, June 1997; and Siani, *et al.*, *American Peptide*, June 15-19 1997, Nashville, Tennessee). Wernette-Hammond *et al.* (*J. Biol. Chem.* (1996) 271:8228-8235) disclose recombinant expression of chimeric proteins comprising segments from IL-8 and GRO-gamma.

10

### **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

Novel proteins comprising a combination of two or more functional modules from two or more different parent proteins, and libraries comprising the proteins are provided. The proteins and libraries of the invention are produced by cross-over synthesis of functional protein modules identified among a class or family of proteins. Libraries comprising novel cross-over chemokines are exemplified. The present invention includes novel therapeutic leads and compounds for characterizing the chemical basis of known ligand/ligate interactions including epitope mapping, receptor localization and isolation. The methods of the invention are applicable to other families of proteins in addition to the chemokines for diversity generation of libraries and pharmaceutical leads.

The cross-over protein libraries of the invention permit refinement of specific properties of particular protein molecules, including activity, stability, specificity and immunogenicity. The process begins with the generation of a focused set of candidate protein analogues based on a protein family identified as having functional modules. The functional protein modules can be identified by any number of means including identification of structure and function relationships. Structural relationships are preferably based on homology comparisons between nucleotide, amino acid, and/or three-dimensional analysis. The structural components can be assessed separately or in combination with functional analysis including assays which correlate structural data with a particular activity. The cross-over proteins of the

invention are then prepared by ligation of the functional modules to form a single polypeptide chain. A preferred method of modular protein synthesis employs chemical ligation to join together large peptide segments to form functional polypeptides or proteins. A combination of peptide synthesis and one or more  
5 ligation steps also can be used. Solid phase and native chemical ligation techniques are preferred for constructing the cross-over proteins.

The modular protein synthesis approach permits an efficient and high-yield method for the construction of synthetic protein libraries of hybrid molecules that can be much larger than is possible with conventional synthesis techniques. After  
10 functional selection, protein molecules with desired characteristics are identified and then used as leads for subsequent cycles of synthesis and screening. The speed of modular chemical synthesis and the efficiency of the analogue identification methods enable multiple rounds of refinement to produce finely-tuned protein therapeutic candidates. Additionally, chemical ligation permits unprecedented access to  
15 extremely pure cross-over protein libraries free of cellular contaminants.

### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES**

**Figure 1** shows a general method for generating molecular diversity by cross-over synthesis of CXC and CC chemokines.

20 **Figure 2** shows a method for generating molecular diversity by cross-over synthesis of the CXC chemokine SDF-1 $\alpha$  and the CC chemokine RANTES.

**Figure 3** shows chemokine amino acid sequence patterns for RANTES, SDF-1 $\alpha$  and MPBV.

25 **Figure 4** shows analytical HPLC chromatograms for SSSS (control) and S'SSS, SRRR, and S'RRR modular chemokines; conditions: C4 reversed-phase HPLC column running a gradient of 5%-65% acetonitrile versus water containing 0.1% TFA, over 30 minutes, with detection at 214 nm.

**Figure 5** shows analytical HPLC chromatograms for RRRR (control) and R'RRR, RSSS, and R'SSS modular chemokines; conditions: C4 reversed-phase



HPLC column running a gradient of 5%-65% acetonitrile versus water containing 0.1% TFA, over 30 minutes, with detection at 214 nm.

### **DEFINITIONS**

5                   “Peptide.” Two or more amino acids operatively joined by a peptide bond. By operatively joined it is intended that the structure and function of a peptide bond in a naturally occurring protein is represented.

                  “Protein.” Two or more peptides operatively joined by a peptide bond. The term protein is interchangeable with the term polypeptide.

10                  “Functional Protein Module.” A segment of a protein comprising a sequence of amino acids that provides a particular functionality in a folded protein. The functionality is based on positioning of the sequence in three-dimensional space and can be formed by two or more discontinuous protein sequences.

                  “Modular Protein.” A protein comprising a combination of two or more  
15 functional protein modules operatively joined by one or more peptide bonds.

                  “Modular Protein Library.” A collection of modular protein compounds.

                  “Cross-Over Protein.” A hybrid protein comprising one or more functional protein modules derived from different parent protein molecules. The functional protein modules are provided by two or more peptide segments joined by a  
20 native or non-native peptide bond. The segments can comprise native amide bonds or any of the known unnatural peptide backbones or a mixture thereof. May include the 20 genetically coded amino acids, rare or unusual amino acids that are found in nature, and any of the non-naturally occurring and modified amino acids.

                  “Cross-Over Protein Library.” A collection of cross-over protein  
25 compounds.

## **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention provides cross-over proteins produced by chemical ligation of two or more functional protein modules derived from two or more different parent protein molecules. The chemical ligation involves ligating under  
5 chemoselective chemical ligation conditions at least one N-terminal peptide segment comprising a functional protein module of a first parent protein and at least one C-terminal peptide segment comprising a functional protein module of a second parent protein, where the N-terminal and C-terminal peptide segments provide compatible reactive groups capable of chemoselective chemical ligation. The first and second  
10 parent proteins preferably are members of the same family of proteins, and may include one or more mutations relative to a naturally occurring parent protein molecule.

The cross-over proteins and methods of the invention provide  
15 unprecedented access to new proteins molecules useful for multiple diagnostic and drug discovery applications. For example, proteins act on receptors to elicit a characteristic biological response. Proteins are composed of functional modules that have functionality relative to the folded protein. Accordingly, cross-over ligation of two or more different functional modules from different proteins of a class or family generates new hybrid protein molecules. The cross-over proteins of the invention  
20 have unique properties that can be used to evaluate function and tune desired properties, such as biological activity as well as physicochemical properties related to formulation and administration.

The cross-over proteins of the invention also may include one or more modified amino acids, such as an amino acid comprising a chemical tag. The  
25 chemical tag may be introduced during and/or after synthesis of the cross-over protein molecule. The chemical tag may be utilized for multiple purposes such as part of the synthesis process, purification, anchoring to a support matrix, detection and the like. Of particular interest is a chemical tag provided by an unnatural amino acid comprising a chromophore. This includes a chromophore that is an acceptor and/or  
30 donor moiety of an acceptor-donor resonance energy transfer pair.

The present invention also provides libraries of cross-over proteins. A

collection of cross-over proteins derived from a particular class or family of protein molecules represents a focused and rationally designed library of novel and structurally diverse cross-over protein molecules that permit collective analysis and identification of therapeutic leads that can combine properties contributed by two or more distinct parent proteins. A preferred cross-over protein library of the invention contains at least four or more unique cross-over proteins.

Libraries of cross-over proteins of the invention are prepared by ligation of distinct functional modules from a particular class or family of proteins. The functional modules may be identified by comparing nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence information of a target protein to identify one or more modules representing a particular functionality for the protein family. Computer analysis, simulation and atomic coordinate information also may be employed for comparison. As biological macromolecules (receptor, enzyme, antibody, etc.) recognize binding substrates through a number of precise physicochemical interactions, these interactions can be divided into a number of different parameters or dimensions such as size, hydrogen bonding ability, hydrophobic interactions, etc., each of which contribute to the activity of a functional protein module. Functional modules from different proteins having distinct biological activity within the family are selected to maintain the basic three-dimensional scaffold of the initial class of target molecule. The cross-over protein libraries are therefore designed to orient groups responsible for binding interactions at unique locations in three-dimensional space relative to a rudimentary protein scaffold. This allows for facile introduction of two or more functional groups in a large number of spatial arrangements. A large number of compounds prepared around each scaffold will reflect a diverse range of unique activities, sizes, shapes, and volumes.

Additional diversity can be added to the library through subsequent chemical modification of the proteins, such as amino and/or carboxyl terminal modification, and/or the incorporation of non-natural amino acids. Another example includes synthesis of functional modules of defined structure and length, where specified positions or a defined number of positions contain a random mixture of amino acids.

A double combinatorial approach also can be used in which functional

groups, representing various physicochemical interacting properties, are introduced by combining functional protein modules into the scaffold building block. A second scaffold building block can be added followed by an additional round of functional group introduction. The final target molecule is prepared for screening. This approach permits the rapid production of a second or sub-library of highly functionalized target molecules from the first library, which may represent only a small collection of functional protein modules.

The cross-over proteins of the invention are generated by chemical ligation techniques. The chemical ligation method of the invention involves cross-over chemoselective chemical ligation of (i) at least one functional N-terminal peptide segment comprising one or more functional protein modules derived from a first parent protein, and (ii) at least one functional C-terminal peptide segment comprising one or more functional protein modules derived from a second parent protein having one or more properties and an amino acid sequence that is different from the first parent protein under chemoselective chemical ligation conditions, where the N-terminal peptide segment and the C-terminal peptide segment provide compatible reactive groups capable of chemoselective chemical ligation. The cross-over ligation reaction is allowed to proceed under conditions whereby a covalent bond is formed between the N-terminal and C-terminal peptide segments so as to produce a chemical ligation product comprising a cross-over protein.

A peptide segment utilized for construction of a cross-over protein of the invention contains an N-terminus and a C-terminus with respect to directionality of the amino acid sequence comprising the segment. For a given chemical ligation event, two protein segments, each comprising one or more functional protein modules, form a covalent bond between a reactive group donated by an amino acid of the N-terminal end of the first segment and a reactive group donated by an amino acid of the C-terminal end of the second segment (i.e., head to tail chemical ligation). Thus use of the terminology "N-terminal peptide segment" and "C-terminal peptide segment" refers to the directionality of the protein segment relative to a particular chemoselective ligation event and/or the final cross-over protein product. By way of example, and with reference to Figures 1-2 illustrating cross-over ligation of the CXC and CC chemokines SDF1 $\alpha$  (S) and RANTES (R), respectively, a given cross-over

chemokine exemplified in Figures 1-2 may be formed by chemical ligation utilizing protein or peptide segments that comprise one or more functional modules (S, S', R and R'), such as two peptide segments (e.g., ligation of SS' (N-terminal) and RR' (C-terminal) to yield SS'RR' cross-over chemokine), three peptide segments (e.g.,  
5 ligation of S (N-terminal) and S'R (C-terminal) to yield SS'R (N-terminal), followed by ligation of SS'R (N-terminal) to R' (C-terminal) to yield SS'RR' cross-over protein), or four peptide segments (e.g., ligation of S (N-terminal) and S' (C-terminal) to yield SS' (N-terminal), and ligation of R (N-terminal) and R' (C-terminal) to yield RR' (C-terminal), followed by ligation of SS' (N-terminal) and RR' (C-terminal) to  
10 yield SS'RR' cross-over chemokine). As can be appreciated, any number of modular combinations and ligation orders are possible.

The cross-over ligations may be performed in single or separate reactions, and optionally include a plurality of chemoselective ligation compatible N- and C-terminal peptide segments representing a mixture of functional protein modules  
15 derived from two or more different parent proteins, so as to obtain a plurality of unique cross-over proteins. When a mixture of unique N-terminal and C-terminal peptide segments are employed, the ligated products can be identified and separated from non-specific side reactions and unligated components by any number of separation techniques, such affinity or high performance liquid chromatography.  
20 Further deconvolution can be utilized to pool or separate the desired ligation products. Also, the mixtures may represent specific groups or sub-groups of peptide segments so as to regulate the number of possible desired ligation outcomes per reaction. One or more internal controls (e.g., parent protein molecules), or coding tags (e.g., chemically tagged cross-over ligation peptide segments) may be included to ease  
25 deconvolution. Activity screens also may be used in conjunction with deconvolution.

In a preferred embodiment, one or more of the N-terminal and C-terminal peptide segments utilized in a given cross-over chemical ligation are pre-formed by cross-over ligation, which are then employed for construction of cross-over proteins. This aspect of the invention involves cross-over ligation of two or more functional  
30 protein modules derived from different parent proteins of the same family by (i) generating a plurality of functional N-terminal peptide segments having one or more functional protein modules obtained by cross-over ligation of two or more different

parent protein molecules, and a plurality of functional C-terminal peptide segments having one or more functional protein modules obtained by cross-over ligation of two or more different parent protein molecules, followed by (ii) cross-over ligation of the plurality of cross-over N-terminal and C-terminal modules so as to obtain a plurality of unique cross-over proteins.

One of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the larger the library of unique cross-over proteins, the greater the diversity and information and leads derivable therefrom. The size and diversity of a library can be determined by calculating the number of possible unique cross-over events based on the number of unique N-terminal and C-terminal modules as described above. This may employ simulations, modeling and the like as a basis for designing cross-over proteins of the invention, followed by synthesis and screening of the cross-over molecules for activity. It also will be appreciated by one of ordinary skill that molecules exhibiting activity, a range of activity or no activity for a given screening assay provide useful structure-activity relationship (SAR) and quantitative SAR (QSAR) information for characterizing structure-function of individual modules and combinations of modules, and thus iterative design, screening and synthesis. For instance, libraries can be generated by computer simulation (virtual library) followed by synthesis employing the combinatorial ligation chemistry approaches of the invention (physical library). The physical libraries then can be screened in a biological assay and resulting activity profiles assessed relative to a given functionality imparted, modified or otherwise removed and the like by a module or combination of modules.

The cross-over proteins can be made to resemble or duplicate features of naturally occurring peptides or segments of naturally occurring proteins. The design of a particular cross-over protein is based on its intended use and on considerations of the method of synthesis. As the proteins increase in length, they have a greater tendency to adopt elements of secondary structure such as loops,  $\alpha$ -helices and  $\beta$ -sheet structures connected by discrete turns, which impart an overall decrease in flexibility. These elements in part are the components which comprise a scaffold that present functional groups responsible for specific biological activity. From knowledge of the features that contribute to these structures, the proteins can be specifically designed to contain them. Of particular interest are cross-over protein

molecules synthesized by combining a functional module from a first protein with a functional module from a second protein. Additional functional modules can be combined from the same and/or one or more other proteins. A preferred cross-over protein is produced by combining one or more functional modules from a first  
5 chemokine and a second chemokine. The cross-over protein molecules are assayed for biological activity, for example, the cross-over chemokines are evaluated for induction of lymphocyte chemotaxis and binding to receptors.

The cross-over proteins can be linear, cyclic or branched, and often composed of, but not limited to, the 20 genetically encoded L-amino acids. A  
10 chemical synthetic approach permits incorporation of novel or unusual chemical moieties including D-amino acids, other unnatural amino acids, ester or alkyl backbone bonds in place of the normal amide bond, N- or C-alkyl substituents, side chain modifications, and constraints such as disulfide bridges and side chain amide or ester linkages. The chemical modification is designed to impart changes in biological  
15 potency, stability related to half-life *in vivo* and storage, and the ability to interact with or covalently label a biological macromolecule receptor for localization of structure-function assays.

Peptide segments utilized for initial ligation and synthesis of the cross-over proteins of the invention may be synthesized chemically, ribosomally in a cell  
20 free system, ribosomally within a cell, or any combination thereof. Accordingly, cross-over proteins generated by ligation according to the method of the invention include totally synthetic and semi-synthetic cross-over proteins. Ribosomal synthesis may employ any number of recombinant DNA and expression techniques, which techniques are well known. See, for example, Sambrook *et al.* (1989, "Molecular  
25 Cloning, A Laboratory Manual," Cold Springs Harbor Press, New York); "Recombinant Gene Expression Protocols," Humana Press, 1996; and Ausubel *et al.* (1989, "Current Protocols in Molecular Biology," Green Publishing Associates and Wiley Interscience, New York). For chemical synthesis, peptide segments can be synthesized either in solution, solid phase or a combination of these methods  
30 following standard protocols. See, for example, Wilken *et al.* (*Curr. Opin. Biotech.* (1998) 9(4):412-426), which reviews chemical protein synthesis techniques. The solution and solid phase synthesis methods are readily automated. A variety of

peptide synthesizers are commercially available for batchwise and continuous flow operations as well as for the synthesis of multiple peptides within the same run. The solid phase method consists basically of anchoring the growing peptide chain to an insoluble support or resin. This is accomplished through the use of a chemical handle, which links the support to the first amino acid at the carboxyl terminus of the peptide. Subsequent amino acids are then added in a stepwise fashion one at a time until the peptide segment is fully constructed. Solid phase chemistry has the advantage of permitting removal of excess reagents and soluble reaction by products by filtration and washing. The protecting groups of the fully assembled resin bound peptide chain are removed by standard chemistries suitable for this purpose. Standard chemistries also may be employed to remove the peptide chain from the resin. Cleavable linkers can be employed for this purpose. For solution phase peptide synthesis this generally involves reacting individual protected amino acids in solution to generate protected dipeptide product. After removal of a protection group to expose a reactive group for addition of the next amino acid, a second protected amino acid is reacted to this group to give a protected tripeptide. The process of deprotection/amino acid addition is repeated in a stepwise fashion to yield a protected peptide product. One or more of these protected peptides can be reacted to give the full-length protected peptide. Most or all of the remaining protecting groups are removed to generate an unprotected synthetic peptide segment. Thus, solid phase or solution phase chemistries may be employed to form synthetic peptides comprising one or more functional protein modules.

The preferred method of synthesis employs a combination of chemical synthesis and chemical ligation techniques. By way of example, chemical synthesis approaches described above may be utilized in combination with various chemoselective chemical ligation techniques for producing the cross-over proteins of the invention. Chemoselective chemical ligation chemistries that can be utilized in the methods of the invention include native chemical ligation (Dawson *et al.*, *Science* (1994) 266:77-779; Kent *et al.*, WO 96/34878), extended general chemical ligation (Kent *et al.*, WO 98/28434), oxime-forming chemical ligation (Rose *et al.*, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* (1994) 116:30-33), thioester forming ligation (Schmolzer *et al.*, *Science* (1992) 256:221-225), thioether forming ligation (Englebrechtsen *et al.*, *Tet. Letts.* (1995) 36(48):8871-8874), hydrazone forming ligation (Gaertner *et al.*, *Bioconj.*



*Chem.* (1994) 5(4):333-338), thiazolidine forming ligation and oxazolidine forming ligation (Zhang *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* (1998) 95(16):9184-9189; Tam *et al.*, WO 95/00846). The preferred chemical ligation chemistry for synthesis of cross-over proteins according to the method of the invention is native chemical ligation.

5                   For example, the synthesis of proteins by native chemical ligation is disclosed in Kent *et al.*, WO 96/34878. In general, a first oligopeptide containing a C-terminal thioester is reacted with a second oligopeptide with an N-terminal cysteine having an unoxidized sulfhydryl side chain. The unoxidized sulfhydryl side chain of the N-terminal cysteine is condensed with the C-terminal thioester in the presence of a  
10                   catalytic amount of a thiol, preferably benzyl mercaptan, thiophenol, 2-nitrothiophenol, 2-thiobenzoic acid, 2-thiopyridine, and the like. An intermediate oligopeptide is produced by linking the first and second oligopeptides via a  $\beta$ -aminothioester bond, which rearranges to produce an oligopeptide product comprising the first and second oligopeptides linked by an amide bond.

15                   Synthesis of cross-over proteins according to the methods of the invention by a combination of chemical ligation and chemical synthesis permits facile incorporation of one or more chemical tags. These include synthesis and purification handles, as well as detectable labels and optionally chemical moieties for attaching the cross-over protein to a support matrix for screening and diagnostic assays and the  
20                   like. As can be appreciated, in some instances it may be advantageous to utilize a given chemical tag for more than one purpose, e.g., both as a handle for attaching to support matrix and as a detectable label. Examples of chemical tags include metal binding tags (e.g., his-tags), carbohydrate/substrate binding tags (e.g., cellulose and chitin binding domains), antibodies and antibody fragment tags, isotopic labels,  
25                   haptens such as biotin and various unnatural amino acids comprising a chromophore. A chemical tag also may include a cleavable linker so as to permit separation of the cross-over protein from the chemical tag depending on its intended end use.

                  For example, it may be convenient to conjugate a fluorophore to the N-terminus of a resin-bound peptide utilized for synthesis and ligation of cross-over  
30                   proteins of the invention before removal of other protecting groups and release of the labeled peptide from the resin. About five equivalents of an amine-reactive fluorophore are usually used per amine of the immobilized peptide. Fluorescein,

eosin, Oregon Green, Rhodamine Green, Rhodol Green, tetramethylrhodamine, Rhodamine Red, Texas Red, coumarin and NBD fluorophores, the dabcyl chromophore and biotin are all reasonably stable to hydrogen fluoride (HF), as well as to most other acids. (Peled *et al.*, *Biochemistry* (1994) 33:7211; Ben-Efraim *et al.*, *Biochemistry* (1994) 33:6966). With the possible exception of the coumarins, these fluorophores are also stable to reagents used for deprotection of peptides synthesized using Fmoc chemistry (Strahilevitz *et al.*, *Biochemistry* (1994) 33:10951). The *t*-BOC and  $\alpha$ -Fmoc derivatives of  $\epsilon$ -dabcyl-L-lysine also can be used to incorporate the dabcyl chromophore at selected sites in a polypeptide sequence. The dabcyl chromophore has broad visible absorption and can be used as a quenching group. The dabcyl group also can be incorporated at the N-terminus by using dabcyl succinimidyl ester (Maggiora *et al.*, *supra*). EDANS is a common fluorophore for pairing with the dabcyl quencher in fluorescence resonance energy transfer experiments. This fluorophore is conveniently introduced during automated synthesis of peptides by using 5-((2-(*t*-BOC)- $\gamma$ -glutamylaminoethyl) amino) naphthalene-1-sulfonic acid (Maggiora *et al.*, *J Med Chem* (1992) 35:3727). An  $\alpha$ -(*t*-BOC)- $\epsilon$ -dansyl-L-lysine can be used for incorporation of the dansyl fluorophore into polypeptides during synthesis (Gauthier, *et al.*, *Arch Biochem Biophys* (1993) 306:304). Like EDANS, its fluorescence overlaps the absorption of dabcyl. Site-specific biotinylation of peptides can be achieved using the *t*-BOC-protected derivative of biocytin (Geahlen *et al.*, *Anal Biochem* (1992) 202:68). The racemic benzophenone phenylalanine analog can be incorporated into peptides following its *t*-BOC or Fmoc protection (Jiang, *et al.*, *Intl J Peptide Prot. Res* (1995) 45:106). Resolution of the diastereomers is usually accomplished during HPLC purification of the products; the unprotected benzophenone can also be resolved by standard techniques in the art. Keto-bearing amino acids for oxime coupling, aza/hydroxy tryptophan, biotyl-lysine and D-amino acids are among other examples of unnatural amino acids that can be utilized. It will be recognized that other protected amino acids for automated peptide synthesis can be prepared by custom synthesis following standard techniques in the art.

A chemical tag also can be introduced by chemical modification using a reactive substance that forms a covalent linkage once having bound to a reactive group of the target cross-over protein molecule and/or one or more module containing peptide segments used to construct the protein. For example, a target cross-over

protein can include several reactive groups, or groups modified for reactivity, such as thiol, aldehyde, amino groups, suitable for coupling the chemical tag by chemical modification (Lundblad *et al.*, *In: Chemical Reagents for Protein Modification*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, (1984)). Site-directed mutagenesis of a cross-over protein

5 module produced ribosomally and/or via chemical synthesis also can be used to introduce and/or delete such groups from a desired position. Any number of chemical tags including biotinylation probes of a biotin-avidin or strepavidin system, antibodies, antibody fragments, carbohydrate binding domains, chromophores including fluorophores and other dyes, lectin, nucleic acid hybridization probes,

10 drugs, toxins and the like, can be coupled in this manner. For instance, a low molecular weight hapten, such a fluorophore, digoxigenin, dinitrophenyl (DNP) or biotin, can be chemically attached to a target reactive group by employing haptenylation and biotinylation reagents. The haptenylated polypeptide then can be directly detected using fluorescence spectroscopy, mass spectrometry and the like, or

15 indirectly using a labeled reagent that selectively binds to the hapten as a secondary detection reagent. Commonly used secondary detection reagents include antibodies, antibody fragments, avidins and streptavidins labeled with a fluorescent dye or other detectable marker.

Depending on the reactive group, chemical modification can be reversible or

20 irreversible. A common reactive group targeted in proteins are thiol groups, which can be chemically modified by haloacetyl and maleimide labeling reagents that lead to irreversible modifications and thus produce more stable products. For instance, reactions of sulfhydryl groups with  $\alpha$ -haloketones, amides, and acids in the physiological pH range (pH 6.5-8.0) are well known and allow for the specific

25 modification of cysteines in peptides and polypeptides (Hermason *et al.*, *In: Bioconjugate Techniques*, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, pp 98-100, (1996)). Covalent linkage of a detectable label also can be triggered by a change in conditions, for example, in photoaffinity labeling as a result of illumination by light of an appropriate wavelength. For photoaffinity labeling, the label, which is often

30 fluorescent or radioactive, contains a group that becomes chemically reactive when illuminated (usually with ultraviolet light) and forms a covalent linkage with an appropriate group on the molecule to be labeled. An important class of photoreactive groups suitable for this purpose is the aryl azides, which form short-lived but highly

reactive nitrenes when illuminated. Flash photolysis of photoactivatable or “caged” amino acids also can be used for labeling peptides that are biologically inactive until they are photolyzed with UV light. Different caging reagents can be used to modify the amino acids, such derivatives of o-nitrobenzyl compounds, and detected following standard techniques in the art. (Kao *et al.*, “Optical Microscopy: Emerging Methods and Applications,” B. Herman, J.J. Lemasters, eds., pp. 27-85 (1993)). The nitrobenzyl group can be synthetically incorporated into the biologically active molecule via an ether, thioether, ester (including phosphate ester), amine or similar linkage to a hetero atom (usually O, S or N). Caged fluorophores can be used for photoactivation of fluorescence (PAF) experiments, which are analogous to fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP). Those caged on the  $\epsilon$ -amino group of lysine, the phenol of tyrosine, the  $\gamma$ -carboxylic acid of glutamic acid or the thiol of cysteine can be used for the specific incorporation of caged amino acids in the sequence. Alanine, glycine, leucine, isoleucine, methionine, phenylalanine, tryptophan and valine that are caged on the  $\alpha$ -amine also can be used to prepare peptides that are caged on the N-terminus or caged intermediates that can be selectively photolyzed to yield the active amino acid either in a polymer or in solution. (Patchornik *et al.*, *J Am Chem Soc* (1970) 92:6333). Spin labeling techniques of introducing a grouping with an unpaired electron to act as an electron spin resonance (ESR) reporter species may also be used, such as a nitroxide compound (-N-O) in which the nitrogen forms part of a sterically hindered ring (Oh *et al.*, *Science* (1996) 273:810-812).

Selection of a chemical tag for a given cross-over protein generally depends on its intended use. In particular, the chemical ligation methods and compositions of the invention can utilize a chemical tag for application in a screening assay of the invention characterized by binding of a cross-over protein to a target receptor. These include diagnostic assays, screening new compounds for drug development, and other structural and functional assays that employ binding of a cross-over protein to a target receptor. The methods include the steps of contacting a receptor with one or more cross-over proteins obtained from a cross-over protein library, and identifying a cross-over protein from the library that is a ligand for the receptor in an assay characterized by detection of binding of the ligand to the receptor. The methods preferably employ one or more of cross-over proteins having a detectable label, such as an unnatural

amino acid including a chromophore. Of particular interest are chromophores comprising an acceptor and/or donor moiety of an acceptor-donor resonance energy transfer pair. For cross-over proteins comprising at least one chromophore, a preferred form of detection is fluorescence detection. When a resonance energy transfer pair is represented, a preferred form of fluorescence detection is fluorescence resonance energy transfer detection (FRET). Screening methods of particular interest involve contacting a target receptor with a cross-over protein ligand, where at least the cross-over ligand is labeled with one or more chromophores, followed by detection of ligand binding by fluorescence spectroscopy. The methods, compounds and compositions of the invention are readily adaptable to high throughput screening.

When employed in a screening or diagnostic assay, a chemical tag can be utilized as a handle to attach a cross-over protein of the invention to a support matrix. Various reversible binding, covalent attachment, and/or cleavable linker moieties may be used for this purpose to tether the molecule of interest to the support matrix. A preferred support matrix is one amenable to storage, shipping, multiplex screening and/or automated applications, such as chromatography columns, beads, multi-sample sheets such as nitrocellulose sheets, multi-well plates and the like. In a preferred embodiment, the cross-over proteins are attached to a solid support matrix in a spatially addressable array. For instance, a set of cross-over proteins representing a desired cross-over ligation structure or group of structures may be logically arranged in spatially addressable multi-well microtiter plates (e.g., 96 and/or 386 well microtiter plates) with a one or more cross-over proteins per well. These arrays may be assembled into larger array sets to increase information derivable from a screening and/or diagnostic assay.

Assays of particular interest employ receptors provided by tissues or cell preparations, synthetic preparations and the like. Receptors of particular interest are lipid membrane-bound receptors generated by lipid matrix-assisted chemoselective chemical ligation as described in co-pending application U.S. Serial No. [to be assigned] filed August 31, 1998 (Attorney Docket No. GRFN-028/00US). Screening for binding of a cross-over protein ligand comprising one or more chromophores to a target receptor is preferably performed in a FRET assay. Ligand binding can be measured by any number of methods known in the art for FRET analyses, including

steady state and time-resolved fluorescence by monitoring the change in fluorescence intensity, emission energy and/or anisotropy, for example, through energy transfer from a donor moiety to an acceptor moiety of the FRET system. (See, e.g., Wu *et al.*, *Analytical Biochem.* (1994) 218:1-13). FRET assays allow not only distance  
5 measurements, but also resolution of the range of donor-to-acceptor distances. FRET also can be used to show that the ligand and/or target receptor exists alternately in a single conformational state, or with a range of donor-to-acceptor distances when in a different state, such as when bound to a ligand. More than one donor-acceptor pairing may also be included.

10 For FRET assays, the cross-over protein ligand is designed to contain at least one chromophore of a donor-acceptor system. The donor molecule is always a fluorescent (or luminescent) one for detection. The acceptor molecule can be either fluorescent or non-fluorescent. Thus for a donor-acceptor system, at least two chromophores are provided: the first is provided by the cross-over ligand; the second  
15 can be provided by the receptor, a matrix to which the receptor and/or ligand is attached and/or embedded such as a lipid membrane, or by one or more of a second ligand for the receptor and/or cross-over ligand.

When choosing a chromophore donor-acceptor pair for FRET, positioning of the first chromophore in a target cross-over protein ligand is selected to be within a  
20 sufficient distance of a second chromophore to create a donor-acceptor fluorescence resonance energy transfer system. For instance, energy transferred from the donor to an acceptor involves coupling of dipoles in which the energy is transferred over a characteristic distance called the Forster radius ( $R_0$ ), which is defined as the distance at which energy transfer efficiency is 50% (i.e., distance at which 50% of excited  
25 donors are deactivated by FRET). These distances range from about 10 to 100 Angstroms ( $\text{\AA}$ ), which is comparable to the diameter of many proteins and comparable to the thickness of membranes. Intrinsic tryptophan or tyrosine sometimes may be used as chromophores in distance measurements, but in most cases the Forster distance is limited to above 30  $\text{\AA}$ . However, an acceptor molecule  
30 comprising clusters of acceptors with high molar absorption coefficient for each acceptor may achieve a further extension of Forster distance. Thus average distances over 100  $\text{\AA}$  can be measured. As the Forster distances can be reliably calculated from

the absorption spectrum of the acceptor and the emission spectrum of the donor, FRET allows determination of molecular distances. Once the Forster distance is known, the extent of energy transfer can be used to calculate the donor-to-acceptor distance.

- 5 Donor-acceptor chromophores applicable for biological molecules, and for which Forster distances are known when paired, include but are not limited to the following chromophores: ANAI (2-anthracene *N*-acetylimidazole); BPE (B-phycoerythrin); CF (carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester); CPM (7-diethylamino-3-(4'-maleimidylphenyl)-4-methylcoumarin); CY5 (carboxymethylindocyanine-*N*-hydroxysuccinimidyl ester, diI-C<sub>13</sub>, 1,1'-dioctadecyl-3,3,3',3'-tetramethyl-indocarbocyanine; diO-C<sub>14</sub>, 3,3'-ditetradecyloxacarbocyanine); DABM (4-dimethylaminophenylazo-phenyl-4'-maleimide); DACM ((7-(dimethylamino)coumarin-4-yl)-acetyl); DANZ (dansylaziridine); DDPM (*N*-(4-dimethylamino-3,5-dinitrophenyl)maleimide); DMAMS (dimethylamino-4-maleimidostilbene); DMSM (*N*-(2,5-dimethoxystiben-4-yl)-maleimide); DNP (2,4-dinitrophenyl); -A (1,N<sup>6</sup>-ethenoadenosine); EIA (5-(iodoacetamid)eosin); EITC (eosin thiosemicarbazide); F<sub>2</sub>DNB (1,5-difluoro-2,4'-dinitrobenzene); F<sub>2</sub>DPS (4,4'-difluoro-3,3'-dinitrophenylsulfone); FITC (fluorescein-5-isothiocyanate); FM (fluorescein-5-maleimide); FMA (fluorescein mercuric acetate); FNAI (fluorescein *N*-acetylimidazole); FTS (fluorescein thiosemicarbazide); IAANS (2-(4'-iodoacetamido)aniino)naphthalene-6-sulfonic acid); IAEDANS (5-(2-((iodoacetyl)amino)ethyl)amino)-naphthlene-1-sulfoni acid); IAF (5-iodoacetamidofluorescein); IANBD (*N*-((2-(iodoacetoxy)ethyl)-*N*-methyl)amino-7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazole); IPM (3(4-isothiocyanatophenyl)7-diethyl-4-amino-4-methylcoumarin); ISA (4-(iodoacetamido)salicylic acid); LRH (lissaminerhodamine); LY (Lucifer yellow); mBBR (monobromobimane); MNA ((2-methoxy-1-naphthyl)-methyl); NAA (2-naphthoxyacetic acid); NBD (7-nitro-2,1,3-benzoxadiazol-4-yl); NCP (*N*-cyclohexyl-*N'*-(1-pyrenyl)carbodiimide); ODR (octadecylrhodamine); PM (*N*-(1-pyrene)-maleimide); SRH (sulforhodamine); TMR (tetramethylrhodamine); 30 TNP (trinitrophenyl); TR (Texas red); BODIPY ((N1-B)-N1'-(difluoroboryl)-3,5'-dimethyl-2-2'-pyrromethene-5-propionic acid, *N*-succinimidyl ester); and lanthanide-ion-chelates such as an iodoacetamide derivative of the Eu<sup>3+</sup>-chelate of *N*-(p-benzoic acid)diethylenetriamine-*N,N',N'*-tetraacetic acid (DTTA).

Since energy transfer measurement is most sensitive to distance variation when donor-acceptor separation is close to their Forster distance, the molecule comprising the first chromophore of a donor-acceptor pair system is selected or engineered so that the first and second chromophores approach or are at the Forster distance. Table 1 shows some typical Forster distances of donor-acceptor pairs.

**Table 1**

Donor	Acceptor	Forster Distance (Å)
Fluorescein	Tetramethylrhodamine	55
IAEDANS	Fluorescein	46
EDANS	DABCYL	33
Fluorescein	Fluorescein	44
BODIPY FL	BODIPY FL	57

Extensive compilations of Forster distances for various donor-acceptor pairs and their specific applications in FRET analysis of biological molecules including peptides, proteins, carbohydrates and lipids are well known in the art. (See, e.g., Wu *et al.*, supra; Berlman *et al.*, (1973) *Energy Transfer Parameters of Aromatic Compounds*, Academic Press, New York; Van der Meer *et al.*, (1994) "Resonance Energy Transfer Theory and Data," VCH Publishers; dos Remedios *et al.*, *J Muscle Res Cell Motility* (1987) 8:97; Fairclough *et al.*, *Meth Enzymol* (1978) 48:347). These Forster distances are used as a general guide when selecting a particular donor-acceptor pair.

In addition to selecting donor and acceptor moieties that are in close proximity (typically 10–100 Å) and approach or are at the Forster distance, the FRET chromophore pairs are selected so that the absorption spectrum of the acceptor overlaps the fluorescence emission spectrum of the donor, and the donor and acceptor transition dipole orientations are approximately parallel. Moreover, for anisotropy assays the chromophores are preferably positioned so that tumbling of the donor or



acceptor moiety is minimized. An advantage of reducing chromophore tumbling is increased sensitivity in FRET detection by reducing background noise in the spectrum.

For most applications, the donor and acceptor dyes are different, in which case

5 FRET can be detected by the appearance of sensitized fluorescence of the acceptor (acceptor enhancement), by quenching of donor fluorescence (donor quenching), or fluorescence polarization (anisotropy). When the donor and acceptor are the same, FRET is typically detected by anisotropy. For instance, donor quenching (quenching of fluorescence) can be used to detect energy transfer. Excitation is set at the

10 wavelength of donor absorption and the emission of donor is monitored. The emission wavelength of donor is selected such that no contribution from acceptor fluorescence is observed. The presence of acceptor quenches donor fluorescence. A wide variety of small molecules or ions act as quenchers of fluorescence, that is, they decrease the intensity of the emission. These substances include iodide, oxygen,

15 chlorinated hydrocarbons, amines, and disulfide groups. The accessibility of fluorophores to quenchers is widely used to determine the location of probes on macromolecules, or the porosity of cross-over proteins or target receptor to the quenchers.

Acceptor enhancement detection techniques can be used when an acceptor is

20 fluorescent, and its fluorescence intensity is enhanced when energy transfer occurs (with excitation into the donor). This provides additional methods to visualize energy from a fluorescence spectrum. In an emission spectrum, one excites at the wavelength of donor absorption and observes the intensity increase of acceptor. In an excitation spectrum, one sets detection at the acceptor emission wavelength and

25 observes enhancements of intensity at a wavelength range where donor absorbs.

Anisotropy (or fluorescence polarization) analysis using FRET is of particular interest. The polarization properties of light and the dependence of light absorption on the alignment of the fluorophores with the electric vector of the incident light provide the physical basis for anisotropic measurements. Fluorescence probes usually

30 remain in the excited state from 1 to 100 nanoseconds (ns), a duration called the fluorescence lifetime. Because rotational diffusion of proteins also occurs in 1-100 ns, fluorescence lifetimes are a favorable time scale for studies of the associative

and/or rotational behavior of macromolecules. Other probes may be employed that remain in the excited state longer than 1-100 ns, such as those that remain in excited state for several 100  $\mu$ s. When a sample of a cross-over protein system comprising an appropriate donor-acceptor chromophore pair is illuminated with vertically polarized light, the emission can be polarized. When energy transfer occurs between the same molecules in identical environments, fluorescence intensity or lifetime does not change. The anisotropy on the other hand may change due to likely change in chromophore orientation. For example, binding of cross-over protein ligand may alter the rotational motions of a receptor for the ligand during the lifetime of the excited state, where slower rotational diffusion results in higher polarization of the emitted light. Hence, if a receptor binds a ligand that induces a conformational change in the chromophore orientation by decreasing its rotational rate, the anisotropy increases. Thus, by means of fluorescence, and in particular, measurements of fluorescence polarization (or anisotropy), it is possible to measure rotational motions of a cross-over protein ligand and/or receptor for the ligand.

Homogeneity and structural identity of the desired covalent ligation product can be confirmed by any number of means including high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using either reverse phase or ion exchange columns, mass spectrometry, crystallography and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR).

Characterization of synthetic peptides also can be performed by a combination of amino acid analysis and mass spectrometry. The positions of the modifications and deletions, if present, can be identified by sequencing with either chemical methods (Edman chemistry) or tandem mass spectrometry.

The chemical ligation approaches described herein is extendable to the combination (cross-over) of as many segments or functional modules as is possible based upon chemical ligation sites present in the sequence. For example, native chemical ligation at naturally occurring cysteine residues can be adapted to other regions devoid of cysteines by introducing cyteines at other positions. The same is true for other ligation chemistries, i.e., chemoselective reactive groups can be engineered into a desired position so as to facilitate site-directed ligation. The chemical ligation approach is applicable to many protein systems. Combination of segments from regions of related proteins with analogous segments of related proteins

is advantageous because it capitalizes on the diversity of a class of proteins, creating new proteins with new properties. These new properties may be novel (unknown in either parent protein) or more restricted (a subset of the binding properties of the parent proteins). Either of these new types of properties are desirable.

5           Of particular interest are classes of proteins that have therapeutic potential, and have functional modules that are readily accessible by chemical synthesis. A number of classes of proteins are known and include the chemokines; macrophage migration inhibitory factor; other cytokines; trefoil peptides; growth factors; protease inhibitors; and toxins. For example, these proteins are ligands for particular receptors.

10           Protein ligands of particular interest are those which are capable of binding to various receptors such as enzyme-linked receptors, fibronectin-like receptors, the seven transmembrane receptors, and the ion channel receptors, including the tyrosine and serine-threonine kinases, and guanylate cyclase families of enzyme-linked  
15           growth factor, insulin, platelet-derived growth factor, and nerve growth factor. Examples of the serine kinase family of receptors include growth factor  $\beta$ -family. Examples of the guanylate cyclase family includes those receptors that generate cyclic GMP (cGMP) in response to atrial natriuretic factors. Examples of the seven-  
20           transmembrane receptors include those membrane proteins that bind catecholamines, histamines, prostoglandins, etc., and the opsins, vasopressin, chemokine and melanocortin receptors. Examples of the ion channel receptors are represented by the ligand- and voltage-gated channel membrane protein receptors, and include the acetylcholine activated sodium channels, glycine and gamma-aminoisobutyric acid  
25           activated chloride channels, and serotonin and glutamate activated calcium channels, and the family of cyclic nucleotide-gated channels (cAMP and cGMP), and the family of inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate (IP3) and the cyclic ADP-ribose receptors that modulate calcium storage. One of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that nucleic acid and/or amino acid sequences for the above and additional receptors and their protein  
30           ligands can be identified in various genomic and protein related databases. Examples of publicly accessible databases include as GenBank (Benson *et al.*, *Nucleic Acids Res.* (1998) 28(1):1-7, USA National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA), TIGR

Database (The Institute for Genomic Research, Rockville, MD, USA) Protein Data Bank (Brookhaven National Laboratory, USA), and the ExPASy and Swiss-Protein database (Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Genève, Switzerland).

Of particular interest are protein classes or families of proteins amenable to native chemical ligation, and thus having naturally occurring conserved cysteine residues, or residues locations into which cysteine residues can be introduced. Examples include chemokines, agouti-related proteins, and the sex determining proteins DSX and DMT1.

Preferred cross-over proteins of the invention include ligands for the chemokine receptors and melanocortin receptors. Chemokines comprise a large family of structurally homologous cytokines, approximately 8 to 10 kD in size. These molecules share the ability to stimulate leukocyte movement (chemokinesis) and directed movement (chemotaxis). All of these molecules contain two internal disulfide loops. Chemokines have been classified into subfamilies, based on whether the two amino terminal cysteine residues are immediately adjacent (cys-cys or CC) or separated by one amino acid (cys-X-cys or CXC) or three amino acids (cys-XXX-cys or CXXXC) based on spacing proximal for the amino terminus. The chemokines fall into two major subclasses: (1) CC chemokines, which generally act on leukocytes including monocytes, T-cells, eosinophils, and basophils; and (2) CXC chemokines, which are primarily involved in acute inflammation and neutrophil activation. Members of the CXC,  $\alpha$ -chemokine or 4q family map to human chromosome 4q12-21. The chemokine protein family comprises more than 65 proteins identified to date. Some of these include, members of the CXC chemokine group, such as Platelet Factor 4 (PF4), Platelet Basic Protein (PBP), Interleukin-8 (IL-8), Melanoma Growth Stimulatory Activity Protein (MGSA), Macrophage Inflammatory Protein 2 (MIP-2), Mouse Mig (m119), Chicken 9E3 (or pCEF-4), Pig Alveolar Macrophage Chemotactic Factors I and II (AMCF-I and -II), Pre-B Cell Growth Stimulating Factor (PBSF) (Stromal Cell-Derived Factor 1) (SDF-1), and IP10, a gamma-interferon induced protein. Members of the CC chemokine group, or b-chemokine or 17q family map to human chromosome 17q11-32 (murine chromosome 11), and include Monocyte Chemotactic Protein 1, 2 and 3 (MCP-1, -2, -3), Macrophage Inflammatory Protein 1 alpha, beta and gamma (MIP-1-alpha, MIP-1-beta, and MIP-

1-gamma), Macrophage Inflammatory Proteins 3, 4 and 5 (MIP-3, MIP-4, and MIP-5), LD-78 beta, RANTES, Eotaxin, I-309 (also known, in mouse, as TCA3), mouse protein C10, and mouse protein Marc/FIC. In addition to the CC and CXC families of chemokines, other groups have been identified including the “C” chemokines that are encoded by the genes SCYC1 and SCYC2, the “CXXXC” chemokines encoded by SCYD1, and virus-encoded chemokines from viruses such as Marek’s disease virus (Gallid herpesvirus 1) (Eco Q protein), stealth virus (unclassified), Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpes-like virus (vMIP-IA) and (vMIP-I), Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpes-like virus (vMIP-1B) and (vMIP-II), molluscum contagiosum virus (MC148R), murine cytomegalovirus (MCK-1 (ORF HJ1), human herpesvirus-6 variant A strain (EDRF3), and human herpesvirus-6 variant B strain (Z29) (CB11R).

Many of the chemokines are strongly expressed during the course of a number of pathophysiological processes including autoimmune diseases, cancer, atherosclerosis, and chronic inflammatory diseases. The biological activities of chemokines are mediated by specific receptors and also by receptors that bind several other proteins. For instance, the chemokine receptors include the CCR1, CCR2, CCR3, CCR4, CCR5, CCR6, CCR8, CXCR1, CXCR2, CXCR3, and CXCR4 chemokine receptors. Also included are the P-chemokine receptors and the unclassified chemokine receptors. There also are several receptors with homology to the chemokine receptors. For example, ligands for CCR1 include RANTES, MIP-1 $\alpha$ , MCP-2, MCP3. Ligands for CCR2 include MCP-1, MCP-2, MCP-3, and MCP-4. Ligands for CCR3 include Eotaxin, eotaxin-2, RANTES, MCP-2, MCP-3, and MCP-4. Ligands for CCR4 include TARC, RANTES, MIP-1 $\alpha$ , and MCP-1. Ligands for CCR5 include RANTES, MIP-1 $\alpha$ , and MIP-1 $\beta$ . Ligands for CCR6 include LARC/MIP-3 $\alpha$ /exodus. Ligands for CCR7 include ELC/MIP-3 $\beta$ . Ligands for CCR8 include I-309. Ligands for CXCR1 include IL-8 and GCP-2. Ligands for CXCR2 include IL-8, GRO- $\alpha/\beta/\gamma$ , NAP-2, ENA78 and GCP-2. Ligands for CXCR3 include IP10 and Mig. Ligands for CXCR4 include SDF-1. Ligands for CXCR5 include BCA-1/BLC. For example, SDF-1 $\alpha$ , a CXC chemokine, is the natural ligand for CXCR4 (also called fusin, LESTR and HUMSTR). T-tropic HIV strains bind to CD4 and then depend on subsequent binding to the CXCR4 receptor for entry into cells. SDF-1 thus has the potential to block HIV binding to CXCR4. The chemokine family of proteins are thus prime targets for development of lead compounds in

characterizing and treating such disorders.

The characteristic pattern of cysteine residues in chemokines is particularly well suited to the systematic production of focused sets of modular hybrid chemokine analogues by native chemical synthesis. Chemokines represent a class of proteins with varied overlapping reactivity and functions, both at the receptor and cell levels. Several chemokine structures have been solved by NMR and X-ray crystallography. The three-dimensional structures are highly homologous and represent an invariant peptide backbone or scaffolding. The structures also show a highly conserved set of amino acids forming the hydrophobic core. Because of the structural homology across approximately 65 chemokines (to date), the various segments of the chemokines are particularly well suited for swapping of functional modules (i.e., cross-over synthesis) between each other to construct novel chemokine libraries, and identify different activities related to structure and function.

Except for the CXC chemokine PBSF, consensus patterns of the CXC chemokines have been shown, as illustrated below beginning from the cysteines of the N-terminus:

$nX(1,8)-C-X-C-[LIVM]-X(5,6)-[LIVMFY]-X(2)-[RKSEQ]-X-[LIVM]-X(2)-[LIVM]-X(5)-[SAG]-X(2)-CX(3)-[EQ]-[LIVM]-X(2)-X(9,10)-CL-[DN]$

Consensus patterns of the CC chemokines also have been shown, as illustrated below beginning from the cysteines of the N-terminus:

$nX(1,9)-C-C-[LIVMFYTY]-X(5,6)-[LIVM]-X(4)-[LIVMF]-X(2)-Y-X(2,3)-[GSTN](2)-X(1,2)-C-X(3,4)-[SAG]-[LIVM]-X(2)-[FL]-X(5)-[RKTMF]-X(2)-C$

Since chemokines contain cysteine sites which are amenable to native chemical ligation, the modular chemokines can be readily synthesized in two or four segments without the need to introduce additional cysteines or use other ligation methods. As an example, cross-over chemokines produced using a two segment approach have an N-terminal segment from one chemokine and a C-terminal segment from another as shown in scheme (1) below. The novel proteins are assessed for different properties contributed from the original, parent chemokines.

## Scheme 1

### Chemokine 1:

H<sub>2</sub>N-AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA-CBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB-COOH

### 5 Chemokine 2:

H<sub>2</sub>N-RRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRR-CSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS-COOH

### Chemokine (1/2):

H<sub>2</sub>N-AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA-CSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS-COOH

### Chemokine (2/1):

10 H<sub>2</sub>N-RRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRR-CBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB-COOH

Where the native chemokine sequences 1 and 2, where A, B, R, and S are arbitrary amino acids determined in the naturally occurring chemokine, and each can be synthesized by native chemical ligation of two segments, and C represents a Cysteine, the site which is amenable to native chemical ligation.

15 In accordance with Scheme 1, the N-terminal segment of chemokine 1 (fictitiously consisting of all A amino acids) can be ligated to the C-terminal segment of chemokine 1 (fictitiously consisting of cysteine (C) followed by all B amino acids). Likewise, chemokine 2 can be synthesized by the ligation of the N-terminal segment of chemokine 2 to the C-terminal segment of chemokine 2. Each chemokine folds  
20 into the natural, biologically-active protein. The cross-over chemokine (1/2) is made by ligating the N-terminal segment of chemokine 1 to the C-terminal segment of chemokine 2. Likewise, an additional unique crossover chemokine, chemokine (2/1) is made by ligating the N-terminus of chemokine 2 to the C-terminal segment in chemokine 1. The native chemical ligation can be applied between any residue and a  
25 cysteine. Typically, chemokines contain four cysteines and therefore can be made in five segments (four native ligations). As noted above, cysteines also may be designed into the structure to permit alternative ligation sites amenable to native ligation

chemistry. Additionally, other types of ligation permit assembly of chemokines and other proteins at other sites.

The melanocortin family of receptor-ligands also are examples of proteins amenable to cross-over synthesis as exemplified above for the chemokines. For instance, the melanocortin receptors include the melanocyte melanocortin receptor (MC1R), MC2R (adrenocortical ACTH receptor), MCR3, MCR4 and MCR5 receptors. Ligands for various melanocortin receptors include agouti protein (AGP) and agouti-related proteins (AGRP). Of particular interest are analogues of AGRP, including minimized agouti-related proteins (MARP) as disclosed in Thompson *et al.*, co-pending provisional patent application U.S. Serial No. 06/079,957.

The cross-over proteins and libraries can be used in a variety of therapeutic applications. Preferred hybrid proteins are those comprising cross-over members of the chemokine family, and analogs derived therefrom. The modular chemokines of the invention may be used in a variety of therapeutic areas, including inflammation and infectious diseases such as AIDS, as well as in indications for hematopoiesis and chemoprotection. Modified derivatives of the native compounds also have been shown to effectively block the inflammatory effects of RANTES. Accordingly, they are useful for the treatment of asthma, allergic rhinitis, atopic dermatitis, atheroma/atherosclerosis, and rheumatoid arthritis. Chemokines also have been shown to inhibit HIV-1 infection in vitro. Additional cross-over proteins and libraries of interest are cross-over members of agouti protein ligands for the melanocortin receptor family, including AGP and MARP that are useful for modulating satiety in a mammal or a disease state such as a wasting syndrome in a mammal including HIV wasting syndrome, cachexia, or quorexia. For instance, cross-over agouti proteins find use as leads in treating feeding disorders, obesity, and other disorders related to hypothalamic control of feeding. A wasting syndrome is an illness characterized by significant weight loss accompanied by other indicia of poor health, including poor appetite, gut disorder, or increased metabolic rate. Wasting syndromes include, but are not limited to, the wasting syndrome afflicting some patients diagnosed with Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS) and various cancers. As methods of treating other symptoms of diseases such as AIDS progress, the incidence of wasting syndrome as the cause of death increases. Improved prophylaxis and treatment for



HIV wasting syndrome is required (Kravick *et al.*, *Arch. Intern. Med.* (1997) 157:2069-2073). Anorexia and cachexia are well-known results of cancer that contribute to morbidity and mortality (Simons *et al.*, *Cancer* (1998) 82:553-560; Andrassy *et al.*, *Nutrition* (1998) 14:124-129). The reasons for the significant weight loss are multiple and may be directly related to the tumor, such as increased metabolic rate, but also include decreased intake due to poor appetite or gut involvement. Further, excessive leptin-like signaling may contribute to the pathogenesis of wasting illness (Schwartz *et al.*, *Pro. Nutr. Soc.* (1997) 56:785-791).

The invention further includes a pharmaceutical composition comprising a cross-over protein of the invention, such as one derived from a cross-over protein library of the invention. Also provided are kits having a cross-over protein of the invention, and/or or produced by a method(s) of the invention.

In applying the compounds of this invention to treatment of the above conditions, administration of the active compounds and salts described herein are preferably administered parenterally. Parenteral administration is generally characterized by injection, either subcutaneously, intramuscularly or intravenously, and can include intradermal or intraperitoneal injections as well as intrasternal injection or infusion techniques. Injectables can be prepared in conventional forms, either as liquid solutions or suspensions, solid forms suitable for solution or suspension in liquid prior to injection, or as emulsions. Suitable excipients are, for example, water, saline, dextrose, glycerol, ethanol or the like. In addition, if desired, the pharmaceutical compositions to be administered may also contain minor amounts of non-toxic auxiliary substances such as wetting or emulsifying agents, pH buffering agents and the like, such as for example, sodium acetate, sorbitan monolaurate, triethanolamine oleate, etc.

For parenteral administration there are especially suitable aqueous solutions of an active ingredient in water-soluble form, for example in the form of a water-soluble salt, or aqueous injection suspensions that contain viscosity-increasing substances, for example sodium carboxymethylcellulose, sorbitol and/or dextran, and, if desired, stabilizers. The active ingredient, optionally together with excipients, can also be in the form of a lyophilisate and can be made into a solution prior to parenteral administration by the addition of suitable solvents. Solutions such as are used, for

example, for parenteral administration can also be used as infusion solutions. A more recently devised approach for parenteral administration employs the implantation of a slow-release or sustained-release system, such that a constant level of dosage is maintained. See, e.g., Higuchi *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 3,710,795, which is hereby  
5 incorporated by reference.

The percentage of active compound contained in such parental compositions is highly dependent on the specific nature thereof, as well as the activity of the compound and the needs of the subject. However, percentages of active ingredient of 0.01% to 10% in solution are employable, and will be higher if the composition is a  
10 solid which will be subsequently diluted to the above percentages. Preferably the composition will comprise 0.02-8% of the active agent in solution.

There are more than 65 known chemokines, and additional new sequences are being added to public genome databases at a rapid rate. Ligands for other therapeutically important receptors also are being identified and characterized at a  
15 significant rate. Construction of cross-over protein libraries can be used for the rapid conversion of genomic data into high-purity novel proteins that can be used contiguously, and also can be used for the preparation of a wide range of analogues by chemical modification, such as N-terminal modification. Modular protein libraries can be used to define protein structure-activity relationships and to identify new lead  
20 compounds for treatment of mammalian disorders. The construction of modular cross-over protein libraries also can be used to improve the therapeutic utility of a native protein by, for example, improving its binding affinity and specificity, or by increasing its circulating half life. The modular hybrid approach described here has widespread applications in analyzing important structural determinants in other  
25 classes of molecules. The novel molecules are useful for *in vitro* studies of viral infection and for therapies based on administration and over-expression of mutants or analogs of these chemokines. Modular synthesis of cross-over chemokines having a combination of cross-over activities obtained from CC or CXC chemokines can be used as novel therapeutic leads and to assess the structural basis of properties such as  
30 folding, stability, catalytic activity, binding, and biological action. The dual agonist activities of the modular chemokines are particularly suited as antagonist and/or agonist against HIV infection. Cross-over melanocortin receptor-specific ligands

such as AGP protein, AGRP and MARP also are examples of therapeutic proteins accessible by the methods of the invention that can be used as novel therapeutic leads. Libraries of chemokines and agouti cross-over proteins generated by the chemical synthesis methods of the invention represent compound libraries having

5   unprecedented focused diversity, high yield and purity, where the product is free of cellular contaminants.

Purity and yield are important for screening and therapeutic purposes. Very often quantity of a compound in a library is a limiting factor for the type and number of screening assays that can be employed. For example, the detection method

10   typically is limited in part by the amount of a compound obtained from a library. In addition, purity is of critical important for efficacious screening of compounds in biological assays, to avoid skewed results contributed by impurities. Of course purity and yield is necessary when a cross-over protein is utilized for therapeutic purposes, so as to minimize contaminants and provide unlimited access to high quality and

15   certified product. Since the libraries of the invention can be generated by chemical synthesis and ligation, yield and purity can be controlled.

The methods and compositions of the invention also can be exploited in screening and diagnostic assays, and are particularly amenable to resonance energy transfer assays employing FRET analyses. This includes access to donor-acceptor

20   chromophore systems that can be used as a qualitative or a quantitative tool to detect and characterize interactions between a receptor-ligand system of interest. The principles and applications of employing resonance energy transfer systems are many and well known (Wu *et al.*, *supra*). For instance, the cross-over protein ligands can be simultaneously constructed and labeled via native chemical ligation to create a

25   chromophore donor/acceptor system that enables detection through FRET. Since measurement of energy transfer is based on fluorescence detection, the assays are highly sensitive and can be used to detect ligand binding. Since the time scale of resonance energy transfer is on the order of nanoseconds, many processes including slow conversion of conformers that are time-averaged in other techniques can be

30   resolved. This approach can be used to infer the spatial relation between donor and acceptor chromophores to obtain structural information, including ligand-induced conformational changes. In addition to data acquisition with a conventional

spectrophotofluorometer, the FRET methods can be adapted for multiple *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays including liquid chromatography, electrophoresis, microscopy, and flow cytometry etc. Thus, the present invention can be used for both *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays. The method also can be applied as a simple diagnostic tool, as well as used in the study of membrane structure and dynamics, or extend it to molecular interactions on cell surfaces or in single cells.

The following examples are presented to illustrate the invention and are not intended to be limiting.

## **EXAMPLES**

### **Example 1: Identification Of Functional Protein Modules For Synthesis Of Cross-Over Chemokine Libraries**

Chemokine patterns are compared on a linear amino acid sequence level and on a three-dimensional structural level to identify functional protein modules for the modular synthesis of cross-over chemokine libraries. Functional protein modules corresponding to homologous regions among the native chemokines are identified by alignment of segments of RANTES, SDF-1 $\alpha$ , and the virally encoded chemokines vMIP-I and vMIP-II (See Figure 3). Macrophage Derived Chemokine (MDC) and the Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpes virus (KSHV) vMIP-I and II chemokines also are compared. Sequence alignment of RANTES, SDF-1 $\alpha$  and the viral chemokines against the RANTES three-dimensional structure (Brookhaven Protein Databank, Brookhaven National Labs, NY) using LOOK® software (Molecular Applications Group, Palo Alto, CA) identified sections of sequences that correlated with functional sections relative to the folded chemokines.

On a sequence level the chemokines are found to be divided into segments by the cysteines, typically at positions 8, 9, 34 and 50 relative to the functional molecules (positions 10, 11, 34 and 50, respectively, as depicted in Figure 3). Each of the intervening segments is found to provide some part of overlapping binding sites for various receptors. The N-terminal segment (residues 1-8) has been shown to be important for receptor activation; truncation of the N-terminal segment

can yield antagonists that bind but do not signal (e.g., RANTES, Arenzana-Seldedos *et al.*, *Nature* (1996) 383: 400). The second segment (residues 8-9) identified contains either 0, 1, or 3 amino acids. Although this segment is short, the CC-chemokines (zero amino acids in this segment) and the CXC-chemokines (one amino acid in this segment) bind to two different sets of receptors with no overlap between them. The third segment (residues 9-34) identified can be divided into two distinct regions. Segment (residues 9-22) interacts with the 7-transmembrane G-protein receptors. The segment (residues 23-34) is identified as comprising the dimer interface based upon comparison of the three-dimensional structures of CXC chemokines like IL8. The fourth segment (residues 35-50) is identified as comprising a central beta strand which contributes to the hydrophobic core and a region (43-49) which also interacts with the 7-transmembrane G-protein receptors. For IL-8 and GRO gamma, the regions 9-22 and 43-49 also have been shown to be important for determining binding to different receptors (Hammond *et al.*, *supra*). The fifth segment (residues 51-75) is identified as containing a C-terminal helix which contributes to the hydrophobic core and contains a heparin-binding domain. Crossing-over the binding regions based upon location of the cysteines, permits the separation of the four regions most important for binding to the 7-transmembrane G-protein receptors: residues (1-8), (8-9), (9-23), and (43-49).

In addition, an asparagine to alanine substitution at position 33 of a synthetic SDF-1 $\alpha$  has been shown to be a more potent activator of chemotaxis compared to the native SDF-1 $\alpha$  sequence. This indicates that the N33A substitution improves receptor-mediated activation. The substituted amino acid precedes the central cysteine that approximately separates the chemokine into halves. Alignment of the CC chemokines in LOOK® with the seven-color scheme reveals that the N-terminus and the two amino acids before the central cysteine appeared to be relatively unique. The substitution at position 33 also may effect a putative switch for activating the receptor and/or agonist binding. Construction of modular chemokines comprising functional modules from RANTES and SDF-1 $\alpha$  are used to characterize receptor activation and agonist/antagonist design.

## Example 2: Modular Synthesis Of Cross-Over Chemokine Libraries

The cross-over chemokine libraries are chemically synthesized using solid phase and native chemical ligation at Xxx-Cys residues. SDF-1 $\alpha$  has been synthesized by stepwise solid phase peptide chemistry (Bleul *et al.*, *Nature* (1996) 382:829) and (Oberlin *et al.*, *Nature* (1996) 382:833). SDF1- $\alpha$  also has been synthesized by native chemical ligation. These techniques are employed to construct MPBV/MPAV, RANTES/SDF-1 $\alpha$  cross-over chemokines discussed in the examples that follow. See in-situ neutralization Boc-peptide synthesis as described in Schnolzer *et al.*, *Int. J. Peptide Protein Res.* (1992) 40:180; chemical synthesis and native ligation of proteins as described in Dawson *et al.*, *supra*; Muir, (1993) *Current Opinion Biochem.* 4:420; Canne *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc* (1995) 117:2998; Lu *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* (1996) 118:8518; and Lu *et al.*, *Biochemistry* (1997) 36(4):673; and thioester resins for Boc-peptide synthesis as described in Hono *et al.*, *Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 64, 111 (1991); Tam *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci USA* (1995) 92:12485; and Canne *et al.*, *Tetrahedron Lett.* (1995) 36:1217; and chemokines and assays as described in Baggiolini *et al.*, *Cytokine* (1991) 3:165; Oppenheim, *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* (1993) 351:183; Sykes *et al.*, *Science* (1994) 264:90; Clark-Lewis *et al.*, *J. Biol. Chem.* (1994) 269:16075; and Hromas *et al.*, *Blood* (1997) 89(9):3315.

Briefly, chemical synthesis is performed using Boc protected amino acids obtained from AnaSpec (San Jose, CA), Bachem California (Torrance, CA), Bachem (Philadelphia, PA), NovaBiochem (San Diego, CA), Peninsula Laboratories (Belmont, CA) or Peptides International (Louisville, KY). Protected amino acids as follows: Arg(Tos), L-Asp(OChx), Asn(Xan), L-Glu(OChx), His(DNP), Lys(2ClZ), Ser(Bz), Thr(Bz), Tyr(2BrZ). DMF and DCM are HPLC grade and used as received. Trifluoroacetic acid is obtained from HaloCarbon (River Edge, NJ).

Peptides are synthesized on a modified ABI430A instrument using in situ neutralization boc chemistry protocols. C-terminal segments are prepared on -OCH<sub>2</sub>Pam resins (ABI, Foster City, CA). N-terminal segments are prepared on  $\alpha$ -thio-carboxylate-resin. Standard HF cleavage protocols are employed following N-terminal Boc removal and drying of the resin. HPLC purification is performed on Rainin HPLCs (Woburn, MA) using Vydac C4 (4.6 and 25 mm) or Dynamax C4 (4.6

mm or 2 in) columns with gradient elution (A: 0.1% TFA, B: ACN, 0.1% TFA). Electrospray mass spectrometry is performed on a Sciex API1 (PE-Sciex).

Ligation is performed at 4mM peptide concentration in 6M guanidine, 0.1M phosphate, pH=7.0 in the presence of 33mM thiophenol (Fluka, Switzerland) at room temperature. Ligation is monitored by HPLC and typically complete within 24 hours. Ligation is followed by HPLC purification and lyophilization as described above.

Folding of synthetic chemokines is conducted as follows. After purification, the full-length peptide is reduced at 1.0 mg/mL in 8M urea (Fluka, Switzerland), 0.1 M TRIS (Fluka, Switzerland), 5.37 mM EDTA (Fluka, Switzerland), pH=8.6 in the presence of 100mM 2-mercaptoethanol (Fluka, Switzerland). Reduction occurs under a nitrogen atmosphere at 40°C for one hour. After complete reduction, the mixture is diluted into the same buffer at 0.2 mg/mL with 18.7 mM oxidized glutathione (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO). The solution is dispensed into a Spectrum Spectra/Por \*7 dialysis membrane (Houston, TX) (MWCO=3500) and the bag placed in 1.0 L of initial dialysis buffer of 8M urea, 0.1 M TRIS, 1 mM EDTA, 3 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 1.3 mM oxidized glutathione, pH=8.6. Then, over a period of two days, 4 liters of 2M urea, 0.1M TRIS, pH=8.6 is pumped into the vessel containing the dialysis bag. Folding is monitored by HPLC and mass spectrometry and is usually complete after 3 buffer changes (3 liters).

Alternatively, full length peptide is reduced directly from the ligation conditions at 1mg/mL in 6M guanidine.HCl (Fluka, Switzerland), 0.1M TRIS, pH=8.5 in the presence of 100mM 2-mercaptoethanol. After purification on reversed phase HPLC and lyophilization, the peptide is oxidized at 1mg/mL in 1M guanidine.HCl, 0.1M TRIS, pH=8.6 at room temperature in the presence of air. After stirring overnight, folding is complete. Alternatively, full length peptide preferably is folded in 2M guanidine.HCl, 0.1 M TRIS, pH 8 containing 8 mM cysteine and 1 mM cystine at 0.5 mg/ml at room temperature with stirring overnight.

Validation procedures used to confirm purity and chemical structure include HPLC, electrospray mass spectrometry, and peptide mapping. Biological activity of the cross-over chemokines is demonstrated following standard chemotaxis

and receptor binding assays using recombinant or chemically synthesized MPBV, SDF-1  $\alpha$  and/or RANTES as controls.

### **Example 3: Modular Synthesis Of Cross-Over Chemokines Comprising Functional Modules From vMIP-I And vMIP-II**

Novel viral cross-over chemokines are constructed by combining segments comprising functional modules from two related virally encoded chemokines. Functional protein modules corresponding to homologous binding sites on the surface of native chemokines are identified by alignment of segments (halves) of Macrophage Derived Chemokine (MDC) and the Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpes virus (KSHV) chemokines against other known chemokines. Sequence alignment of the viral chemokines against the RANTES three-dimensional structure (Brookhaven Protein Databank, Brookhaven National Labs, NY) using LOOK® software identified sections of sequences that correlated with patches (putative binding sites) localized to the surface of the folded chemokines. Crossover chemokines are made by modular synthesis using native ligation at the central cysteine and folding of viral chemokine segments derived from vMIP-I (MPAV) and vMIP-II (MPBV). The two unique crossover chemokines are designated MP(A/B)V and MP(B/A)V. The MP(A/B)V cross-over chemokine comprises the N-terminal segment from MPAV (amino acids 1-35) and the C-terminal segment of the MPBV (amino acids 38-74). The MP(B/A)V cross-over chemokine comprises the N-terminal segment from MPBV (amino acids 1-37) and the C-terminal segment of the MPAV (amino acids 36-71). The effect of these crossovers on the three-dimensional (tertiary) structure of a chemokine are evaluated relative to the three-dimensional scaffold, which represented separation of functional modules corresponding to the "N-terminal tail" and the "lower right side" in the N-terminal segment from the "front upper left" in the C-terminal segment. The amino acid sequences for four chemically synthesized chemokines are shown in Table II below and represent two of the native virally encoded chemokines MPAV and MPBV, and two of the cross-over chemokines corresponding to MP(A/B)V and MP (B/A)V.



**Table II**

**Amino acid sequences of the native MPAV and MPBV molecules, and cross-over chemokines MP(A/B)V and MP(B/A)V.**

---

**MPAV (1-71) (SEQ ID NO: 1):**

5      AGSLVSYTPNSCCYGFOQHPPPVOILKEWYPTSPACPKPGVILL  
TKRGRQICADPSKNWVRQLMQRLPAIA

**MPBV (1-74) (SEQ ID NO: 2):**

GDTLGASWHRPDKCCLGYQKRPLPVLLSSWYPTSQLCSKPG  
VIFLTRGRQVCADKSKDWVKKLMQQLPVTAR

10      **MP (A/B)V(1-72) (SEQ ID NO: 3):**

AGSLVSYTPNSCCYGFOQHPPPVOILKEWYPTSPACSKPGVIFL  
TKRGRQVCADKSKDWVKKLMQQLPVTAR

**MP (B/A)V(1-73) (SEQ ID NO: 4):**

15      GDTLGASWHRPDKCCLGYQKRPLPVLLSSWYPTSQLCPKPG  
VILLTKRGRQICADPSKNWVRQLMQRLPAIA

---

**Example 4: Modular Synthesis Of Cross-Over Chemokines Comprising  
Functional Modules From SDF-1 $\alpha$  And RANTES**

20            All CC and CXC chemokines contain four cysteines giving sites  
amenable to native chemical ligation at Xxx-Cys positions. Peptides corresponding to  
the N-terminal and C-terminal halves flanking the Cys positions are synthesized,  
purified and ligated following the scheme depicted in Tables III-V and Figures 1 and  
2. In particular, SDF-1 $\alpha$  (a CXC chemokine that binds to the CXCR4 receptor) and  
25      RANTES (a CC chemokine that binds to the CCR5 receptor) are employed in the  
modular synthesis of cross-over chemokines using eight N-terminal modules in

various combinations with four C-terminal modules derived from these chemokines (see Tables IV and V). Additional diversity is incorporated into the N-terminal segment by the deletion of the "X" residue from the "CXC" module of SDF-1 $\alpha$  and insertion of a residue between the "CC" module of RANTES, for a total of eight N-terminal modules. For example, in SDF-1 $\alpha$  the N-terminal module corresponds to "KPVSLSYRCP" from which the P residue is deleted to give KPVSLSYRC (i.e., deletion of the "X" residue from the "CXC" module); in RANTES the N-terminal module corresponds to "SPYSSDTTPC" into which a P residue is inserted to yield "SPYSSDTTPCP" (i.e., insertion of an "X" residue between the "CC" module).

Native chemical ligation technology is used to synthesize the two modified native and 30 hybrid chemokines between SDF-1 $\alpha$  and RANTES. In addition, solid phase chemical ligation is used to construct the two modified native molecules for comparison to molecules prepared by native chemical ligation. The cross-over chemokines synthesized are assayed for binding to the CXCR4 and CCR5 receptors, and the residues directly involved in binding to the two different receptors are identified (see Example 5). This library of molecules also is used to probe the structure and function of the N-terminal CXC or CC modules, the hydrophobic pocket, and the C-terminal regions between the two classes of chemokines. In addition, the hybrid chemokines are screened to identify those molecules which display "dual functionality," i.e., the ability to bind both CXCR4 and CCR5. Selection of the hybrid chemokines is characterized using 1H-NMR and other biophysical techniques. This first group of molecules are used in a second round of iteration (for example N-terminal modifications) to further improve binding to the receptors. Use of the cross-over chemokine molecules also are assayed for blocking of CXCR4 and CCR5 for prevention of HIV entry into cells, as binding of chemokines to CXCR4 and CCR5 has been shown to block HIV entry into cells (Simons *et al.*, *Science* (1997) 275:1261-1264) (see Example 5). Other biological assays may be used to determine general structure-function relationships within chemokine molecules.

Table III

**Amino acid sequences for native and base synthetic SDF-1 and RANTES**

**SDF-1 $\alpha$  (human residues 1-93):**

5 MNAKVVVVLVLVLTALCLSDGKPVSLSYRCPCRFFESHVARA  
NVKHLKILNTPNCALQIVARLKNNNRQVCIDPKLKWIEYLEK  
ALNKRFBKM (SEQ ID NO: 5)

**SDF-1 $\alpha$  (1-67) (synthetic base molecule missing pre-sequence/N-terminal residues 1-21 and C-terminal residues 89-93):**

10 KPVSLSYRCPCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPNCALQIVARLKN  
NNRQVCIDPKLKWIEYLEKALN (SEQ ID NO:6)

**RANTES (human residues 1-91):**

MKVSAARLAVILIATALCAPASAPYSSDTPCCFAYIARPLPRA  
HIKEYFYTSGKCSNPVVFVTRKNRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSL  
EMS (SEQ ID NO: 7)

15 **RANTES (1-68) (synthetic base molecule missing pre-sequence/N-terminal residues 1-23):**

SPYSSDTPCCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGKCSNPVVFVTRK  
NRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSL (SEQ ID NO: 8)

20

Table IV

**Modular synthesis of cross-over chemokines using eight N-terminal modules in combination with four C-terminal modules to construct cross-over chemokine molecules.**

25 **8X N-terminal modules:**

<u>Ref</u>	<u>Amino Acid Sequence</u>	<u>SEQ ID NO</u>
SS	KPVSLSYRCPCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPN	(SEQ ID NO: 9)

	S'S	KPVSLSYRCCRFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPN	(SEQ ID NO: 10)
	SR	KPVSLSYRCPCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGK	(SEQ ID NO: 11)
	S'R	KPVSLSYRCCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGK	(SEQ ID NO: 12)
	RR	SPYSSDTTPCCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGK	(SEQ ID NO: 13)
5	R'R	SPYSSDTTPCPCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGK	(SEQ ID NO: 14)
	RS	SPYSSDTTPCCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPN	(SEQ ID NO: 15)
	R'S	SPYSSDTTPPCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPN	(SEQ ID NO: 16)

**4X C-terminal modules:**

	<b><u>Ref</u></b>	<b><u>Amino Acid Sequence</u></b>	<b><u>SEQ ID NO</u></b>
10	SS	CALQIVARLKNNNRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN	(SEQ ID NO: 17)
	SR	CALQIVARLKNNNRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS	(SEQ ID NO: 18)
	RS	CSNPAVVFVTRKNRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN	(SEQ ID NO: 19)
	RR	CSNPAVVFVTRKNRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS	(SEQ ID NO: 20)

15

**Table V**

**Amino acid sequences for SDF-1 $\alpha$ /RANTES cross-over molecules**

**Combination of 8X N-terminal and 4X C-terminal modules:**

SSSS (control)	SRSS	RRSS	RSSS
SSSR	SRSR	RRSR	RSSR
SSRS	SRRS	RRRS	RSRS
SSRR	SRRR	RRRR (control)	RSRR
S'SSS (-Pro control)	S'RSS	R'RSS	R'SSS
S'SSR	S'RSR	R'RSR	R'SSR
S'SRS	S'RRS	R'RRS	R'SRS
S'SRR	S'RRR	R'RRR (+Pro control)	R'SRR

**SSSS:**

KPVSLSYRCPCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPNCALQIVARLKN  
NNRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN (SEQ ID NO: 21)

**SSSR:**

5 KPVSLSYRCPCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPNCALQIVARLKN  
NNRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS (SEQ ID NO: 22)

**SSRS:**

KPVSLSYRCPCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPNCNSNPAVVFVTR  
KNRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN (SEQ ID NO: 23)

10 **SSRR:**

KPVSLSYRCPCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPNCNSNPAVVFVTR  
KNRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS (SEQ ID NO: 24)

**S'SSS:**

15 KPVSLSYRCCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPNCALQIVARLKN  
NNRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN (SEQ ID NO: 25)

**SSSR:**

KPVSLSYRCCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPNCALQIVARLKN  
NNRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS (SEQ ID NO: 26)

**SSRS:**

20 KPVSLSYRCCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPCNSNPAVVFVTR  
KNRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN (SEQ ID NO: 27)

**S'SRR:**

KPVSLSYRCCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPCNSNPAVVFVTR  
KNRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS (SEQ ID NO: 28)

25 **SRSS:**

KPVSLSYRCPCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGKCALQIVARLKN  
NNRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN (SEQ ID NO: 29)

**SRSR:**

KPVSLSYRCPCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGKCALQIVARLKN  
NNRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS (SEQ ID NO: 30)

**SRRS:**

5 KPVSLSYRCPCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGKCSNPVVFVTR  
KNRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN (SEQ ID NO: 31)

**SRRR:**

KPVSLSYRCPCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGKCSNPVVFVTR  
KNRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS (SEQ ID NO: 32)

10 **S'RSS:**

KPVSLSYRCCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGKCALQIVARLKNN  
NRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN (SEQ ID NO: 33)

**S'RSR:**

15 KPVSLSYRCCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGKCALQIVARLKNN  
NRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS (SEQ ID NO: 34)

**S'RRS:**

KPVSLSYRCCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGKCSNPVVFVTRK  
NRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN (SEQ ID NO: 35)

**S'RRR:**

20 KPVSLSYRCCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGKCSNPVVFVTRK  
NRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS (SEQ ID NO: 36)

**RRSS:**

SPYSSDTPCCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGKCALQIVARLKNN  
NRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN (SEQ ID NO: 37)

25 **RRSR:**

SPYSSDTPCCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGKCALQIVARLKNN  
NRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS (SEQ ID NO: 38)

**RRRS:**

SPYSSDTPCCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGKCSNPAVVFVTRK  
NRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN (SEQ ID NO: 39)

**RRRR:**

5 SPYSSDTPCCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGKCSNPAVVFVTRK  
NRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS (SEQ ID NO: 40)

**R'RSS:**

SPYSSDTPCPCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGKCALQIVARLKN  
NNRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN (SEQ ID NO: 41)

10 **R'RSR:**

SPYSSDTPCPCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGKCALQIVARLKN  
NNRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS (SEQ ID NO: 42)

**R'RRS:**

15 SPYSSDTPCPCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGKCSNPAVVFVTR  
KNRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN (SEQ ID NO: 43)

**R'RRR:**

SPYSSDTPCPCFAYIARPLPRAHIKEYFYTSGKCSNPAVVFVTR  
KNRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS (SEQ ID NO: 44)

**RSSS:**

20 SPYSSDTPCCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPNCALQIVARLKN  
NNRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN (SEQ ID NO: 45)

**RSSR:**

SPYSSDTPCCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPNCALQIVARLKN  
NNRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS (SEQ ID NO: 46)

25 **RSRS:**

SPYSSDTPCCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPNCSNPAVVFVTR  
KNRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN (SEQ ID NO: 47)

**RSRR:**

SPYSSDTTPCCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPNCSNPAVVFVTR  
KNRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS (SEQ ID NO: 48)

**R'SSS:**

5 SPYSSDTTPCPCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPNCALQIVARLK  
NNNRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN (SEQ ID NO: 49)

**R'SSR:**

SPYSSDTTPCPCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPNCALQIVARLK  
NNNRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS (SEQ ID NO: 50)

10 **R'SRS:**

SPYSSDTTPCPCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPNCSNPAVVFVT  
RKNRQVCIDPKLKWIQEYLEKALN (SEQ ID NO: 51)

**R'SRR:**

15 SPYSSDTTPCPCRFFESHVARANVKHLKILNTPNCSNPAVVFVT  
RKNRQVCANPEKKWVREYINSLEMS (SEQ ID NO: 52)

---

Ligation and proper folding of a small library of cross-over chemokines are demonstrated in Figures 4-5, which show analytical HPLC for the SSSS (control), S'SSS (-Pro control), SRRR, S'RRR, RRRR (control), R'RRR (-Pro control), RSSS, and R'SSS chemokines depicted in Table V. Analytical HPLC also demonstrates variable separation properties among the cross-over chemokines, reflecting a likely difference in in vivo functionality. The calculated molecular weight (MW) of the expected cross-over protein ligation products and the actual MW determined by electrospray mass spectroscopy show a high level of agreement (See, e.g., Table VI).



Table VI

**Calculated and Measured Molecular Weights for Modular Cross-Over****Chemokines**

<b>Modular Chemokine</b>	<b>Calculated MW (Dalton)</b>	<b>Measured MW (Dalton)</b>
SSSS (control)	7788.28	7789.29
S'SSS (-Pro control)	7691.16	7692.63
SRRR	7939.34	7939.96
S'RRR	7842.22	7842.09
RRRR (control)	7847.06	7848.36
R'RRR (+Pro control)	7944.17	7945.63
RSSS	7696.00	7695.06
R'SSS	7793.12	7791.96

5

**Example 5: Cross-Over Chemokine Assays****Chemotaxis Assays:**

Human peripheral blood leukocytes are isolated from normal donors according to established protocols for purification of monocytes, T lymphocytes and neutrophils. A panel of CC and CXC chemokine receptor-expressing test cells is constructed and evaluated following exposure to serial dilutions of individual compounds from the library of cross-over chemokines RANTES/SDF-1 $\alpha$ , MP(A/B)V and MP (B/A)V. Synthetic native RANTES, SDF-1 $\alpha$ , MPAV and MPBV are used as controls. The panel of cells represent human kidney embryonic epithelial (HEK) 293 cells transfected with expression cassettes encoding various chemokine receptors including CXCR4/Fusion/LESTR, CCR3, CCR5, CXC4 (these cells are available from various commercial and/or academic sources or can be prepared following standard protocols). Leukocyte migration relative to the transfected HEK cells is evaluated using a 48-well microchamber; migration of the receptor transfected HEK 293 cells also is assessed by the 48-well microchamber technique with the polycarbonate filters (10  $\mu$ m pore-size) precoated with Collagen type I (Collaborative Biomedical Products, Bedford, MA)(Neote *et al.*, *Cell* (1993) 72:415-425; Risau *et al.*, *Nature* (1997) 387:671-674; Angiololo *et al.*, *Annals NY Acad Sci.* (1996)

795:158-167; Friedlander *et al.*, *Science* (1995) 870:1500-1502). The results are expressed as the chemotaxis index (CI) representing the fold increase in the cell migration induced by stimuli versus control medium. All experiments are performed at least two times and results from one experiment are shown. The statistical  
5 significance of the difference between migration in response to stimuli and control are accessed by Student's T test.

### **Receptor Binding Assays:**

Receptor binding assays are performed using a single concentration of <sup>125</sup>I labeled chemokines in the presence of increasing concentrations of unlabeled  
10 ligands following standard protocols. The binding data are analyzed, for example, with a computer program such as LIGAND (P.Munson, Division of Computer Research and Technology, NIH, Bethesda, MD). The binding data are subjected to Scatchard plots analysis with both "one site" and "two site" models compared to native leukocytes or the panel of receptor-transfected HEK cells expressing CXCR4,  
15 CCR3, CCR5 or CXCR4. The rate of competition for binding by unlabeled ligands is calculated with the following formula: % inhibition = 1 - (Binding in the presence of unlabeled chemokine/binding in the presence of medium alone) X 100.

### **HIV-1 Inhibition Assays:**

Chemokine receptors act as co-receptors for human immune deficiency  
20 virus type (HIV)-1 entry into CD4+ cells. The CC chemokines MIP-1A, MIP-1B, RANTES and eotaxin can suppress infection by some strains of HIV in PBMCs and chemokine receptor transfected cell lines. The viral-produced chemokine vMIP-1 inhibits some primary non-syncytium inducing (NSI) HIV strains when co-transfected with the NSI strain HIV-1 co-receptor CCR5. CCR3 is the predominant  
25 chemokine receptor through which eotaxin, RANTES and other CC chemokines activate eosinophils. RANTES and MIP-1A also can utilize the CCR1 receptor that is expressed on eosinophils. In addition, synthetic N-terminal variants of CC (e.g. Met-RANTES) and CXC (e.g. IL-8) chemokines function as receptor antagonists on eosinophils and neutrophils, whereas the native structures do not. Similarly, the CXC  
30 chemokine SDF-1 $\alpha$  is a potent chemoattractant for leukocytes through activation of the receptor CXCR4/Fusin/LESTR, which is a fusion co-factor for the entry of HIV-1.

CXCR4 mediated HIV-1 fusion can be inhibited in some cells by SDF-1 $\alpha$ . Thus, despite the sequence similarities between certain chemokines of the same family, the binding and antagonist/agonist properties for HIV infection vary significantly.

Compounds from the library of cross-over chemokines RANTES/SDF-1 $\alpha$ , MP(A/B)V and MP (B/A)V are screened for receptor usage, inhibition of HIV infection, potency and breadth of activity against HIV infection, induction of calcium mobilization and angiogenesis. The assays are used to evaluate suppression of HIV-1 infection/replication in U87/CD4 cells (a human glioma cell line) expressing HIV-1 co-receptors and also in primary peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs).

The receptor-transfected U87/CD4 cells are obtainable by transfecting cells with an expression cassette encoding the respective receptors following standard protocols. The cells are maintained in Dulbecco's Minimal Essential Medium containing 10% FCS, glutamine, antibiotics, 1 ug/ml puromycin (Sigma Chemicals) and 300 ug/ml neomycin (G418; Sigma) and split twice a week. PBMCs are isolated from healthy blood donors by Ficoll-Hypaque centrifugation, then stimulated for 2-3 days with phytohemagglutinin (PHA) (5ug/ml) and IL-2 (100 U/ml)(Simmons, *et al.*, *J. Viorol* (1996) 70:8355-8360). CD4+ T-cells are purified from the activated PBMC by positive selection using anti-CD4 immunomagnetic beads (DYNAL Inc.), screened for CCR-5 defective alleles, and cells from allele defective or wild-type donors used depending on the assay. HIV isolates are obtainable from various sources including the NIAID HIV-1 Antigenic Variation study, or from similar programs organized by the US Department of Defense or the World Health Organization. Phenotypes of test viruses are tested by their ability to form syncytia (SI) in MT-2 cells that are cultured in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), glutamine and antibiotics, and split twice a week. Recombinant human CC-chemokines MIP-1A, MIP-1B and RANTES are obtainable from R&D Systems Inc. (Minneapolis). Synthetic SDF-1 $\alpha$  stocks are obtainable from Gryphon Sciences (M.A.S. and D.A.T.) and Berlex Biosciences (R.H.). Chemokine stocks are compared for purity and potency.

#### **Assay for inhibition of HIV infection:**

Compounds from the library of cross-over chemokines RANTES/SDF-

1 $\alpha$ , MP(A/B)V and MP (B/A)V are tested against a panel of U87/CD4 cells stably expressing either CCR3, CCR5, CXCR3 or CXCR4 receptors exposed to HIV-1/NSI strains SL-2 and SF162 (macrophage-tropic strains that utilize the RANTES, MIP-1 $\alpha$  and MIP-1 $\beta$  receptor CCR5 to gain entry into CD4<sup>+</sup> cells) and the dual-tropic syncytium inducing (SI) strains 89.6 and 2028 (SI dual tropic strains that can use CXCR4 and CCR3 in addition to CCR5 for entry). Lymphocytes and CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells from donors also are tested. Serial concentrations ranging from 0 to 500 nM of the cross-over proteins are used. RANTES, MPBA, MPBV and SDF-1 $\alpha$  are used as controls. Inhibition of HIV infection is reported as a percentage of infection relative to modular protein and control concentrations.

Purified lymphocytes are stimulated with PHA (0.5 $\mu$ g/ml) and cultured for 2-3 days at  $2 \times 10^6$ /ml in medium containing IL-2 (Boehringer-Mannheim, 20 U/ml) before being used in infection assays. Cells are pre-treated with appropriate concentrations of chemokines for 30 minutes at 37°C. Approximately 400-1000 TCID<sub>50</sub> of virus are added to an appropriate volume and incubated at 37°C for 3 hours. Cells are then washed 4 times and resuspended in an appropriate volume of media containing IL-2 and relevant chemokine at the appropriate concentration. Cells are fed every 3 days with fresh medium containing IL-2 and chemokine. From days 3 through 7 post-infection, the cultures are examined microscopically for syncytium formation and the supernatant analyzed for p24 antigen production using an enzyme linked immunoabsorbent assay (ELISA)(McKnight *et al.*, *Virology* (1994) 201:8-18). Inhibitory doses are calculated relative to the final concentration of chemokine in the culture on day 0. Virus production in the absence of chemokine is designated as 100%, and the ratios of p24 antigen production in chemokine-containing cultures calculated relative to this percentage. The chemokine concentrations (pg/ml) causing 50% and 90% reduction in p24 antigen production are determined by linear regression analysis. If the appropriate degree of inhibition is not achieved at the highest or lowest chemokine concentration, a value of > or < is recorded.

Virus infectivity on the receptor expressing U87/CD4 cells is assessed by focus-forming units (FFU) (Simmons, *et al.*, *Science* (1997) 276:276-279). The FFU for viruses using more than one co-receptor is assessed separately for each appropriate co-receptor expressing U87/CD4 cell type. Cells are seeded into 48 well trays at

- 1x10<sup>4</sup> cells/well overnight. The cells are then pre- treated for 30 minutes at 37°C with appropriate concentrations of chemokine in 75ul. 100 FFU of each virus in 75ul is added and incubated for 3 hours at 37°C. Cells are washed 3 times and 500ul of medium containing the appropriate chemokine at the correct concentration is added.
- 5 After 5 days the cells are fixed for 10 minutes in cold acetone:methanol (1:1) and analyzed for p24 antigen production. Standard errors are estimated from duplicate wells and results presented are representative of three separate experiments.

**Assay for breadth and potency of cross-over chemokines against HIV infection:**

- 10 The breadth and potency of the inhibitory actions of compounds from the library of cross-over chemokines RANTES/SDF-1 $\alpha$ , MP(A/B)V and MP (B/A)V are tested against native CC-chemokines (MIP-1A, MIP-1B and RANTES) for M-tropic primary isolates of HIV-1, and against a native CXC-chemokine (SDF-1 $\alpha$ ) for T-tropic isolates in mitogen-stimulated primary CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells. The cross-over
- 15 chemokines are evaluated for their potency and spectrum of agonistic activity against HIV-1 strains relative to the native CC- and CXC- chemokines to identify the most active inhibitor of HIV-1 replication and the best template for therapeutic development. The properties and activities of M-Tropic and T-tropic primary HIV-1 isolates are recorded and compared to inhibition of infection by exposure to the cross-
- 20 over chemokines relative to the HIV isolate designation, genetic subtype, and phenotype determined by ability of an isolate to form (SI) or not form (NSI) syncytia in MT-2 cells, the ability of an isolate to replicate efficiently in activated CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells from individuals homozygous for either wild-type or delta-32 CCR5 alleles, and the ability of an isolate to replicate in U87/CD4 cells stably expressing either CCR5
- 25 or CXCR4. The median ID50 and ID90 values (ng/ml) are calculated for each sample. A value of > indicates that 50% or 90% inhibition is not achieved at a chemokine concentration of the highest tested in any experiment. A value of < indicates that 50% or 90% inhibition is always achieved at a chemokine concentration of the lowest tested. The genetic subtypes of the test isolates and their abilities to use
- 30 CXCR4 and CCR5 to enter transfected U87MG-CD4 cells are also compared. The means from two independent experiments are compared. FACS analysis of CCR5 and CXCR4 receptor expression levels, and/or competitive inhibition assay of cross-

over chemokines and receptor down-regulation also may be tested following standard protocols (Wu *et al.*, *J. Exp. Med.* (1997) 185:168-169; and Trkola *et al.*, *Nature* (1996) 384:184-186).

**Assay for measuring changes in intracellular calcium concentration ([Ca<sup>2+</sup>]):**

Calcium mobilization is indicative of receptor binding. Compounds from the library of cross-over chemokines RANTES/SDF-1 $\alpha$ , MP(A/B)V and MP (B/A)V are assayed for calcium mobilization in purified neutrophils and eosinophils following standard protocols (Jose *et al.*, *J Exp Med* (1994) 179:881-887). Purified neutrophils or eosinophils are incubated with fura-2 acetoxymethyl ester (1-2.5 $\mu$ M), washed 3 times in 10 mM PBS (without Ca<sup>2+</sup>/Mg<sup>2+</sup>) + 0.1% BSA (200 x g, 8 min), and finally resuspended at 2 x 10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml in 10 mM PBS (without Ca<sup>2+</sup>/Mg<sup>2+</sup>) + 0.25% BSA + 10 mM HEPES + 10 mM glucose. Aliquots of cells are placed in quartz cuvettes and the external Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration adjusted to 1 mM with CaCl<sub>2</sub>. Changes in fluorescence are measured at 37°C using a fluorescence spectrophotometer at excitation wavelengths 340 nm and 380 nm and emission wavelength 510 nm. [Ca<sup>2+</sup>] levels are calculated using the ratio of the two fluorescence readings and a K for Ca<sup>2+</sup> at 37° C of 224 nM.

**CAM assay for angiogenic activity:**

Angiogenic activities of compounds from the library of cross-over chemokines RANTES/SDF-1 $\alpha$ , MP(A/B)V and MP (B/A)V are evaluated by the chick chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay (Oikawa *et al.*, *Cancer Lett* (1991) 59:57-66). Native chemokines are used as controls. Fertilized Plymouth Rock x while Leghorn eggs are incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere (relative humidity, approx. 70%). Test samples are dissolved in sterile distilled water or PBS. Sterilized sample solution is mixed with an equal volume of autoclaved 2% methylcellulose. Additional controls are prepared with vehicle only (1% methylcellulose solution). 20 $\mu$ l of the sample solution is dropped on parafilm and dried up. The methylcellulose disks are stripped off from the parafilm and placed on a CAM of a 10 or 11 day old chick embryo. After 3 days, the CAMs are observed by means of an Olympus stereoscope. A 20% fat emulsion (Intralipos 20%, Midori-Juji,

Osaka, Japan) is injected into the CAM to increase the contrast between blood and surrounding tissues (Danesi *et al.*, *Clin Cancer Research* (1997) 3:265-272). The CAMs are photographed for evaluation of angiogenic response. Angiogenic responses are graded as negative, positive or unclear on the basis of infiltration of blood vessels into the area of the implanted methylcellulose disk by different observers.

As exemplified above, modular protein libraries comprising cross-over molecules are constructed. The cross-over libraries find use in identifying novel proteins having cross-over activities contributed by a combination of individual functional protein modules from two or more distinct proteins of the same family or class. The methods of the invention can be readily adapted and integrated with genomic sequencing and bioinformatics to prepare novel combinatorial modular protein libraries for identifying new drug candidates, and for evaluating and validating the physiological relevance of the new targets. This approach represents an advance over traditional discovery protocols that rely on native, historical, and/or random synthetic libraries subjected to mass screening. Generation of modular protein libraries representing a focused set of molecules decreases the time and cost of discovering novel therapeutic agents for multiple disease states. The modular synthesis approach and the construction of cross-over protein libraries greatly expands the range of compounds available for biological screening and discovery of pharmaceutical agents.

## **REFERENCES**

1. Andrassy *et al.*, *Nutrition* (1998) 14:124-129
2. Angiololo *et al.*, *Annals NY Acad. Sci.* (1996) 795:158-167
3. Arenzana-Selsdedos *et al.*, *Nature* (1996) 383: 400
4. Ausubel *et al.*, (1989) "Current Protocols in Molecular Biology," Green Publishing Associates and Wiley Interscience, New York

5. Baggiolini *et al*, *Cytokine* (1991) 3:165
6. Ben-Efraim *et al.*, *Biochemistry* (1994) 33:6966
7. Benson *et al.*, *Nucleic Acids Res.* (1998) 28(1):1-7
8. Berlman *et al.*, *Energy Transfer Parameters of Aromatic Compounds*, (1973)  
5 Academic Press, New York
9. Bleul *et al.* , *Nature* (1996) 382:829
10. Brenner *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* (1992) 89:5381-5383
11. Bunin *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* (1992) 114:10997-10998
12. Bunin *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (1993) 91:4708-4712
- 10 13. Canne *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc* (1995) 117:2998
14. Canne *et al.* , American Peptide Symposium, Nashville, June 1997
15. Canne *et al.*, *Tetrahedron Lett.* (1995) 36:1217-1220
16. Clark-Lewis *et al.*, *J. Biol. Chem.* (1994) 269:16075
17. Cwirla *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (1990) 87:6378-6382
- 15 18. Danesi *et al.*, *Clin. Cancer Res.* (1997) 3:265-273
19. Dawson *et al.*, *Science* (1994) 266:776-779
20. Devlin *et al.*, *Science* (1990) 249:404-406
21. DeWitt *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* (1993) 90:6909-6913
22. dos Remedios *et al.*, *J Muscle Res Cell Motility* (1987) 8:97-117
- 20 23. Englebretson *et al.*, *Tet. Letts.* (1995) 36(48):8871-8874
24. Fairclough *et al.*, *Meth Enzymol* (1978) 48:347-379
25. Friedlander *et al.*, *Science* (1995) 870:1500-1502



26. Furka *et al.*, Abstr. 14th Int. Congr. Biochem., Prague, Czechoslovakia, Vol 5, pg 47. Abstr. 10th Intl. Symp. Med. Chem., Budapest, Hungary, (1988), pg 288
27. Gallop *et al.*, *J. Med. Chem.* (1994) 37:1233-1251
- 5 28. Gaertner *et al.*, *Bioconj. Chem.* (1994) 5(4):333-338
29. Gauthier *et al.*, *Arch Biochem Biophys* (1993) 306:304
30. Geahlen *et al.*, *Anal Biochem* (1992) 202:68
31. Geysen *et al.*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* (1984), 81:3998-4002
32. Gordon *et al.*, *J. Med. Chem.* (1994) 37:1385-1401
- 10 33. Hermason *et al.*, *In: Bioconjugate Techniques*, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, pp 98-100, (1996)
34. Higuchi *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 3,710,795
35. Hogan *et al.*, WO 94/01102
36. Hono *et al.*, *Chem. Soc. Jpn.* (1991) 64:111
- 15 37. Houghten *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* (1985) 82:5131-35
38. Houghten *et al.*, *Nature* (1991) 354:84-86
39. Hromas *et al.*, *Blood* (1997) 89(9):3315
40. Jiang, *et al.*, *Intl J Peptide Prot. Res* (1995) 45:106
41. Kao *et al.*, "Optical Microscopy: Emerging Methods and Applications," B. Herman, J.J. Lemasters, eds., pp. 27-85 (1993)
- 20 42. Kent *et al.*, WO 96/34878
43. Kent *et al.*, WO 98/28434
44. Kravick *et al.*, *Arch. Intern. Med.* (1997) 157:2069-2073

45. Lam *et al.*, *Nature* (1991) 354:82-84
46. Lundblad *et al.*, *In: Chemical Reagents for Protein Modification*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, (1984)
47. Lu *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* (1996) 118:8518
- 5 48. Lu *et al.*, *Biochemistry* (1997) 36(4): 673
49. Maggiora *et al.*, *J Med Chem* (1992) 35:3727
50. McKnight *et al.*, *Virology* (1994) 201:8-18
51. Moos *et al.*, *Ann. Rep. Med. Chem.* (1993) 28:315-324
52. Muir *et al.*, *Curr. Opin. Biochem.* (1993) 4:420
- 10 53. Needels *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (1993) 90:10700-10704
54. Neote *et al.*, *Cell* (1993) 72:415-425
55. Nielsen *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* (1993) 115:9812-9813
56. Oberlin *et al.*, *Nature* (1996) 382:833
57. Oh *et al.*, *Science* (1996) 273:810-812
- 15 58. Oikawa *et al.*, *Cancer* (1991) 59:57-66
59. Oppenheim, *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* (1993) 351:183
60. Patchornik *et al.*, *J Am Chem Soc* (1970) 92:6333
61. Pavia *et al.*, *Bioorganic Medicinal Chem. Lett.* (1993) 3:387-96
62. Peled *et al.*, *Biochemistry* (1994) 33:7211
- 20 63. "Recombinant Gene Expression Protocols," (1996) Humana Press
64. Risau *et al.*, *Nature* (1997) 387:671-674
65. Rose *et al.*, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* (1994) 116:30-33

66. Sambrook *et al.*, (1989) "Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual," Cold Springs Harbor Press, New York
67. Schnolzer *et al.*, *Int. J. Peptide Protein Res.* (1992) 40:180
68. Schnolzer *et al.*, *Science* (1992) 256:221-225
- 5 69. Schwartz *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (1997) 56:785-791
70. Siani *et al.*, IBC 3rd Annual International Conference: Chemokines, September 1996
71. Siani *et al.*, NMHCC, Chemokines and Host-Cell Interaction Conference, January 1997, Baltimore, Maryland
- 10 72. Siani *et al.*, Peptide Symposium, Nashville, June 1997
73. Siani, *et al.*, *American Peptide*, June 15-19 1997
74. Simons *et al.*, *J. Virol.* (1996) 70:8355-8360
75. Simons *et al.*, *Science* (1997) 275:1261-1264
76. Simons *et al.*, *Science* (1997) 276:276-279
- 15 77. Simons *et al.*, *Cancer* (1998) 82:553-560
78. Smith G.P., *Science* (1985) 228:1315-1317
79. Strahilevitz *et al.*, *Biochemistry* (1994) 33:1
80. Sykes *et al.*, *Science* (1994) 264:90
81. Tam *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci USA* (1995) 92:12485
- 20 82. Tam *et al.*, WO 95/00846
83. Thompson *et al.*, U.S. Provisional Application Serial No. 06/079,957
84. Trkola *et al.*, *Nature* (1996) 384:184-186

85. Van der Meer *et al.*, (1994) "Resonance Energy Transfer Theory and Data," VCH Publishers
86. Wernette-Hammond *et al.*, *J. Biol. Chem.* (1996) 271:8228-8235
87. Wilken *et al.*, *Curr. Opin. Biotech.* (1998) 9(4):412-426
- 5 88. Wu *et al.*, *Analytical Biochem.* (1994) 218:1-13
89. Wu *et al.*, *J. Exp. Med.* (1997) 185:168-169
90. Zhang *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (1998) 95(16):9184-9189
91. Zuckermann *et al.*, *J. Med. Chem* (1994), 37: 2678-85

10 All publications and patent applications mentioned in this specification are herein incorporated by reference to the same extent as of each individual publication or patent application was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

The invention now having been fully described, it will be apparent to one  
15 or ordinary skill in the art that many changes and modifications can be made thereto without departing from the spirit or scope of the appended claims.

## CLAIMS

### What is claimed is:

1. A cross-over protein produced by chemical ligation of two or more functional protein modules derived from two or more different parent protein molecules.
2. The cross-over protein of claim 1, wherein said parent protein molecules are of the same family of protein molecules.
3. The cross-over protein of claim 2, wherein said chemical ligation is selected from the group consisting of native chemical ligation, oxime forming chemical ligation, thioester forming ligation, thioether forming ligation, hydrazone forming ligation, thiazolidine forming ligation, and oxazolidine forming ligation.
4. The cross-over protein of claim 1, wherein said cross-over protein comprises a chemical tag.
5. The cross-over protein of claim 4, wherein said chemical tag is a detectable label.
6. The cross-over protein of claim 5, wherein said detectable label comprises an unnatural amino acid.
7. The cross-over protein of claim 6, wherein said unnatural

amino acid comprises a chromophore.

8. The cross-over protein of claim 7, wherein said chromophore is an acceptor moiety of an acceptor-donor resonance energy transfer pair.

5

9. The cross-over protein of claim 7, wherein said chromophore is a donor moiety of an acceptor-donor resonance energy transfer pair.

10. The cross-over protein of claim 4, wherein said chemical tag comprises a chemical handle for attaching said cross-over protein to a support matrix.

11. The cross-over protein of claim 10, wherein said cross-over protein is attached to a support matrix via said chemical handle.

12. The cross-over protein of claim 11, wherein said cross-over protein is attached to a support matrix via said chemical handle in a spatially addressable array.

13. The cross-over protein of claim 1, wherein the protein is a cross-over chemokine.

14. The cross-over protein of claim 13, wherein said cross-over chemokine comprises a functional protein module of a chemokine selected from the group consisting of RANTES, SDF1, and MIP.

25

15. The cross-over protein of claim 14, wherein said functional protein module comprises an N-terminal module corresponding to a SEQ ID NO selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 9-16.

5 16. The cross-over protein of claim 14, wherein said functional protein module comprises an C-terminal module corresponding to a SEQ ID NO selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 17-20.

10 17. The cross-over protein of claim 14, wherein said cross-over chemokine corresponds to a SEQ ID NO selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 3, 4, 22-24, 26-39, 41-43 and 45-52.

15 18. A protein library comprising a collection of cross-over proteins of claim 1.

19. The protein library of claim 18, wherein said collection of cross-over proteins comprises two or more unique cross-over proteins.

20 20. The protein library of claim 19, wherein one or more of said unique cross-over proteins is produced by chemical ligation of two or more N-terminal peptide segments comprising one or more functional protein modules of a first parent protein and two or more C-terminal peptide segments comprising one or more functional protein modules of a second parent protein.

25 21. The protein library of claim 18, wherein the cross-over proteins comprise cross-over chemokines.

22. The protein library of claim 21, wherein said cross-over chemokines comprise a functional protein module of a chemokine selected from the group consisting of RANTES, SDF1 and MIP.

5

23. The protein library of claim 22, wherein said functional protein module comprises an N-terminal module corresponding to a SEQ ID NO selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 9-16.

10

24. The protein library of claim 22, wherein said functional protein module comprises an C-terminal module corresponding to a SEQ ID NO selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 17-20.

15

25. The protein library of claim 22, wherein one or more of said cross-over chemokines correspond to a SEQ ID NO selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 3, 4, 22-24, 26-39, 41-43 and 45-52

20

26. A pharmaceutical composition comprising a cross-over protein according to any one of claims 13-17.

27. A kit comprising a cross-over protein according to any one of claims 1-26.

25

28. A method of producing a cross-over protein, said method comprising:  
ligating under chemoselective chemical ligation conditions (i) at least one N-



terminal peptide segment comprising a functional protein module derived from a first parent protein, and (ii) at least one C-terminal peptide segment comprising a functional protein module derived from a second parent protein having an amino acid sequence that is different from said first parent protein, wherein said N-terminal peptide segment and said C-terminal peptide segment comprise compatible reactive groups capable of chemoselective chemical ligation, whereby a covalent bond is formed between said N-terminal peptide segment and said C-terminal peptide segment so as to produce a chemical ligation product comprising a cross-over protein.

29. The method of claim 28 further comprising the step of repeating said ligating one or more times with one or more second peptide segments selected from the group consisting of an N-terminal peptide segment and a C-terminal peptide segment.

30. The method of claim 28, wherein said parent protein molecules are of the same family of protein molecules.

31. The method of claim 28, wherein said chemoselective chemical ligation is selected from the group consisting of native chemical ligation, oxime forming chemical ligation, thioester forming ligation, thioether forming ligation, hydrazone forming ligation, thiazolidine forming ligation, and oxazolidine forming ligation.

32. A method of producing a cross-over protein library, said method comprising:

ligating under chemoselective reaction conditions a plurality of unique N-terminal peptide segments comprising one or more functional protein modules derived from first parent protein and a plurality of unique C-terminal peptide segments comprising one or more functional protein modules derived from a second parent protein having an amino acid sequence that is different from said first parent protein, wherein said N-terminal peptide segments and said C-terminal peptide segments comprise compatible reactive groups capable of chemoselective chemical ligation, whereby a covalent bond is formed between said N-terminal peptide segments and said C-terminal peptide segments so as to produce a plurality of chemical ligation products comprising a plurality of unique cross-over proteins.

33. The method of claim 32, wherein said plurality of N-terminal peptide segments are obtained by cross-over ligation of two or more different parent protein molecules.

34. The method of claim 32, wherein said plurality of C-terminal peptide segments are obtained by cross-over ligation of two or more different parent protein molecules.

35. The method of claim 32, wherein said parent protein molecules are of the same family of protein molecules.

36. The method of claim 32, wherein said chemoselective chemical ligation is selected from the group consisting of native chemical ligation, oxime forming chemical ligation, thioester forming ligation, thioether forming ligation, hydrazone forming ligation, thiazolidine forming ligation, and oxazolidine forming ligation.

37. A method of screening a cross-over protein library, said method comprising:

contacting a receptor with one or more cross-over proteins obtained from a cross-over protein library, and

identifying a cross-over protein from said library that is a ligand for said receptor in an assay characterized by detection of binding of said ligand to said receptor.

38. The method of claim 37, wherein one or more of said cross-over proteins comprise a detectable label.

39. The method of claim 38, wherein said detectable label comprises a chromophore.

40. The method of claim 38, wherein said detectable label comprises an unnatural amino acid.

41. The method of claim 40, wherein said unnatural amino acid comprises  
5 a chromophore.

42. The method of claim 39, wherein said chromophore is an acceptor moiety of an acceptor-donor resonance energy transfer pair.

10 43. The method of claim 41, wherein said chromophore is a donor moiety of an acceptor-donor resonance energy transfer pair.

44. The method of claim 39, wherein said detection is fluorescence  
detection.

15 45. The method of claim 44, wherein said fluorescence detection is fluorescence resonance energy transfer detection.

46. The method of claim 37, wherein said screening is high throughput.

20 47. The method of claim 37, wherein said cross-over protein library comprises one or more cross-over chemokines.

48. The method of claim 47, wherein said cross-over chemokines comprise a functional protein module of a chemokine selected from the group consisting of RANTES, SDF1, and MIP.

5 49. The method of claim 48, wherein said functional protein module comprises an N-terminal module corresponding to a SEQ ID NO selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 9-16.

10 50. The method of claim 48, wherein said functional protein module comprises an C-terminal module corresponding to a SEQ ID NO selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 17-20.

15 51. The method of claim 48, wherein said cross-over chemokine corresponds to a SEQ ID NO selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 3, 4, 22-24, 26-39, 41-43 and 45-52.

**MODULAR PROTEIN LIBRARIES AND METHODS OF PREPARATION**

5

**ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE**


Novel proteins and libraries comprising them are disclosed. The proteins comprise one or more functional protein modules from different parent protein molecules. The proteins and libraries are exemplified by the preparation of cross-over chemokines comprising various combinations of peptide segments derived from RANTES, SDF-1 and vMIP-I and vMIP-II. The proteins and libraries are extremely pure and can be provided in non-limiting high yields suitable for diagnostic and high-throughput screening assays.

FIGURE 1

Generating Molecular Diversity using NCL\*

CXC chemokine	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; border-collapse: collapse;"><tr><td>S</td><td>S</td><td>S</td><td>S</td></tr></table>	S	S	S	S	SDF1 $\alpha$
S	S	S	S			
CC chemokine	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; border-collapse: collapse;"><tr><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td></tr></table>	R	R	R	R	RANTES
R	R	R	R			

8x N-terminal modules



4x C-terminal modules



Ligation at Xxx-Cys bond to generate hybrid molecule

\*NCL= native chemical ligation


S'=-Pro & R=+Pro

FIGURE 2

Generating Molecular Diversity using NCL\*

CXC chemokine	<table><tr><td>S</td><td>S</td><td>S</td><td>S</td></tr></table>	S	S	S	S	SDF1 $\alpha$
S	S	S	S			
CC chemokine	<table><tr><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td></tr></table>	R	R	R	R	RANTES
R	R	R	R			

8x N-terminal modules



4x C-terminal modules



Ligation at X $\alpha$ -Cys bond to generate hybrid molecule

\*NCL= native chemical ligation

S' = -Pro & R' = +Pro





FIGURE 4





FIGURE 5



## DECLARATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

**MODULAR PROTEIN LIBRARIES AND METHODS OF PREPARATION**

the specification of which:

☒ is attached hereto.

☐ was filed on , and identified as Attorney Docket No. GRFN-020/01US.

☐ was filed on , as Application Serial No. .

and

☐ the amendment(s) of which were filed on .

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under title 35, United States Code, Section 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s) (Country) (Number) (Day/Month/Year Filed)

Priority Claimed (Yes/No)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

60/057,620  
(Application Number)

September 4, 1997  
(Filing Date)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Appl. Ser. No.

Filing Date

Status (Pat'd./Pend./Aband.)

I direct that correspondence concerning this application be directed to

COOLEY GODWARD LLP  
Five Palo Alto Square  
3000 El Camino Real  
Palo Alto, California 94306-2155  
Attention: Patent Group  
Telephone (650) 843-5000.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

**Full name of sole or first inventor:** Michael A. SIANI

Inventor's signature

*Michael A. Siani*

Date

*8-28-98*

Residence:

San Francisco, California

Citizen of:

United States of America

Post Office Address:

341 Day Street  
San Francisco, California 94131

**Full name of second inventor:** Jill WILKEN

Inventor's signature Jill Wilken Date August 28th 1998

Residence: San Francisco, California

Citizen of: United States of America

Post Office Address: 135 Gardenside Drive, #217  
San Francisco, California 94131

**Full name of third inventor:** Reyna SIMON

Inventor's signature Reyna Simon Date August 28, 1998

Residence: Los Gatos, California

Citizen of: United States of America

Post Office Address: 18439 Las Cumbres Road  
Los Gatos, California 95033

**Full name of fourth inventor:** Stephen B.H. KENT

Inventor's signature SBH Kent Date 28th August '98

Residence: San Francisco, California

Citizen of: United States of America

Post Office Address: 273 Hartford Avenue  
San Francisco, California 94114